

The Infinity of Complete Riemannian Manifolds under Yamabe Flow

Fei Yang*and Jingfang Shen†

Abstract

We study complete locally conformally flat Riemannian manifolds M^n . We prove that the flatness of the infinity geometry can be preserved under the Yamabe flow. As an immediate application, the asymptotic volume ratio of the manifold is a constant.

Key words: Yamabe flow, locally conformally flat, asymptotic volume ratio.

1 Introduction

The geometric flow has been proved to be an effective tool in the study of the geometry and topology of Riemannian manifolds. For a complete Riemannian manifold, RS.Hamilton[1] has defined several geometric invariants such as the aperture

$$\alpha = \limsup_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{diam} S_s}{2s}$$

where S_s is the sphere of radius s around an origin, the set of points whose distanced to the origin is s ,

*1.Center of Mathematics and Science, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, 310027, 2.School of Mathematics and Physics, China University of geosciences, Wuhan, Hubei, 430000 P. R. China E-mail:yangfei712@yahoo.cn

†School of Mathematics and Physics, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan, Hubei, 430000 P. R. China E-mail:sjf712@mail.hzau.edu.cn

the asymptotic volume ratio

$$v = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{V(B_s(P))}{s^n}$$

where $V(B_s(P))$ is the volume of a ball of radius s around an origin,
and the asymptotic scalar curvature ratio

$$A = \limsup_{s \rightarrow \infty} R s^2.$$

Hamilton has proved that the above invariants are constants for complete solutions to the Ricci Flow under some conditions. A natural question is, how about the conclusions of the manifold under other geometric flows. Here we consider the complete solution of Yamabe Flow that $g(t)$ evolves under the flow equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} g = -Rg \tag{1.1}$$

Let M be a smooth complete locally conformally flat Riemannian n -manifold, we will represent the curvature tensors in an orthonormal frame and evolve the frame so that it remains orthonormal. Let V be a vector field over M isomorphic to the tangent bundle TM . The frame $F = \{F_1, \dots, F_a, \dots, F_n\}$ of V is given by $F_a = F_a^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ locally with the isomorphism F_a^i . At initial time $t = 0$, we can choose F_a^i such that $F = \{F_1, \dots, F_a, \dots, F_n\}$ is an orthonormal frame. Then evolve F_a^i by the equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} F_a^i = \frac{1}{2} R F_a^i$$

Then the frame $F = \{F_1, \dots, F_a, \dots, F_n\}$ will remain orthonormal for all times since the pull back metric on V

$$h_{ab} = g_{ij} F_a^i F_b^j$$

remains constant in time.

From now on, we will use indices a, b, \dots on a tensor to denote it's components in the evolving orthonormal frame. In the frame we have:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{abcd} &= F_a^i F_b^j F_c^k F_d^l R_{ijkl} \\ \Gamma_{jb}^a &= F_i^a \frac{\partial F_b^i}{\partial x^j} + \Gamma_{jk}^i F_a^i F_b^k \\ D_i V^a &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} V^a + \Gamma_{ib}^a V^b \\ D_b V^a &= F_b^i D_i V^a \end{aligned}$$

where Γ_{jb}^a is the metric connection of V with the metric h_{ab} , and $(F_i^a) = (F_a^i)^{-1}$. By direct computation, $D_i F_b^i = 0$ and $D_i h_{ab} = 0$.

Now we state our main theorem.

Theorem 1.1 *Suppose we have a solution to the Yamabe Flow on a complete locally conformally flat n -manifold with bounded curvature, If $|Rm| \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$ at initial time $t = 0$, then it will remains true for $t \geq 0$.*

Theorem 1.2 *Under the assumption of Theorem 1.1, if the manifold has nonnegative Ricci curvature, then the asymptotic volume ratio of the manifold is a constant.*

2 Preliminary

As the metric changes under the Yamabe Flow, the distance on the manifold will change. At first, we give the bound on the changing distance.

Lemma 2.1 *If $(M^n, g(t))$ is a complete solution to the Yamabe Flow and $|Rm(x, t)| \leq K$ for a nonnegative constant K , then we have*

$$e^{-(n-1)K(t_1-t_0)} \leq \frac{\text{dist}_{t_1}(x_0, x_1)}{\text{dist}_{t_0}(x_0, x_1)} \leq e^{(n-1)K(t_1-t_0)}$$

for $t_1 > t_0$ and any $x_0, x_1 \in M$.

Proof: Since

$$|Rm(x, t)| \leq K$$

on $M \times [0, T]$, we get

$$|R(x, t)| \leq n(n-1)K$$

on $M \times [0, T]$. Thus

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_{ij}(x, t) \right| \leq |Rg_{ij}(x, t)| \leq n(n-1)K g_{ij}(x, t)$$

That is,

$$-n(n-1)K g_{ij}(x, t) \leq \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_{ij}(x, t) \leq n(n-1)K g_{ij}(x, t)$$

Thus we get

$$e^{-(n-1)Kt}g_{ij}(x, 0) \leq g_{ij}(x, t) \leq e^{(n-1)Kt}g_{ij}(x, 0)$$

and

$$e^{-(n-1)Kt}ds_0^2 \leq ds_t^2 \leq e^{(n-1)Kt}ds_0^2$$

Now we have

$$e^{-(n-1)Kt}\gamma_0(x_0, x_1) \leq \gamma_t(x_0, x_1) \leq e^{(n-1)Kt}\gamma_0(x_0, x_1)$$

for any $x_0, x_1 \in M$, where $\gamma_t(x_0, x_1)$ represents the length of the minimal geodesic that join x_0 and x_1 . \square

Lemma 2.2 [4] *If (M^n, g) is locally conformally flat, then under Yamabe Flow,*

$$(1) \quad \partial_t R_{ijkl} = (n-1)\Delta R_{ijkl} - RR_{ijkl} + \frac{1}{(n-2)^2}(B_{ik}g_{jl} + B_{jl}g_{ik} - B_{il}g_{jk} - B_{jk}g_{il}),$$

$$(2) \quad \partial_t R_{ij} = (n-1)\Delta R_{ij} + \frac{1}{n-2}B_{ij},$$

$$(3) \quad \partial_t R = (n-1)\Delta R + R^2,$$

where $B_{ij} = (n-1)|Ric|^2 g_{ij} + nRR_{ij} - n(n-1)R_{ij}^2 - R^2g_{ij}$.

In the proof of the main theorem, we need a global derivative estimate for the curvature.

Lemma 2.3 *If $(M^n, g(t))$ is a complete locally conformally flat solution to the Yamabe Flow and*

$$|Rm(x, t)| \leq K$$

for a nonnegative constant K , then the covariant derivative of the curvature is bounded by

$$|DRm| \leq CK/\sqrt{t}$$

and the k^{th} covariant derivative of the curvature is bounded by

$$|D^k Rm| \leq C_k K/t^{\frac{k}{2}}.$$

Proof: From Lemma 2.1.2 we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} Rm = (n-1)\Delta Rm + Rm * Rm.$$

where the symbol $A * B$ denotes any tensor product of two tensors A and B when we do not need the precise expression.

It follows that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (DRm) = (n-1)\Delta (DRm) + Rm * (DRm).$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} |Rm|^2 \leq (n-1)\Delta |Rm|^2 - 2(n-1)|DRm|^2 + C|Rm|^3$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} |DRm|^2 \leq (n-1)\Delta |DRm|^2 - 2(n-1)|D^2 Rm|^2 + C|Rm| \cdot |DRm|^2$$

for some constant C depending only on the dimension n .

Then we can follow the proof in Shi's derivative estimates of Ricci flow, choose a test function with slight modification. \square

3 Proof of the main theorem

Proof of Theorem 1.1: Suppose $|Rm| \leq K$ for some constant K . Since $|Rm| \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ we can find $\sigma < \infty$ such that $|Rm| \leq \varepsilon$ for $s \geq \sigma$.

The curvature tensor evolves by a formula

$$D_t Rm = (n-1)\Delta Rm + Rm * Rm$$

which gives a formula

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} |Rm|^2 = (n-1)\Delta |Rm|^2 - 2|DRm|^2 + Rm * Rm * Rm$$

and an estimate

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} |Rm|^2 \leq (n-1)\Delta |Rm|^2 + C_1 |Rm|^3$$

for some constant C_1 depending only on the dimension.

Then choose a smooth function φ at $t = 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi &= K^2 i f s \leq \sigma \\ \varphi &= \varepsilon^2 i f s \geq 2\sigma \\ -\delta &\leq D\varphi \leq 0 \\ |D^2\varphi| &\geq \rho > 0\end{aligned}$$

for some constant $\delta > 0$ and constant $\rho > \delta$.

Now define φ for $t \geq 0$ by solving the scalar heat equation

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} = (n-1)\Delta\varphi$$

in the Laplacian of the metric evolving by the Yamabe Flow. By the maximum principle we still have $|\varphi| \leq \varepsilon^2$ everywhere for $t \geq 0$.

The derivative $D_a\varphi$ evolves by the formula

$$\begin{aligned}D_t D_a \varphi &= D_t(F_a^i D_i \varphi) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} R F_a^i D_i \varphi + F_a^i D_t D_i \varphi \\ &= \frac{1}{2} R F_a^i D_i \varphi + (n-1) F_a^i D_i \Delta \varphi \\ &= \frac{1}{2} R F_a^i D_i \varphi + (n-1) F_a^i D_i (g^{mn} D_m D_n \varphi) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} R F_a^i D_i \varphi + (n-1) F_a^i g^{mn} (D_m D_i D_n \varphi + R_{imnl} g^{ls} \nabla_s \varphi) \\ &= (n-1) \Delta D_a \varphi + \frac{1}{2} R D_a \varphi - (n-1) F_a^i R_{il} g^{ls} \nabla_s \varphi\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} |D\varphi|^2 \leq (n-1) \Delta |D\varphi|^2 - 2(n-1) |D^2\varphi|^2 + C_1 K |D\varphi|^2$$

for some constant $C_1 > 0$ depending only on the dimension.

Let us put

$$F = t |D\varphi|^2 + |\varphi|^2$$

and compute

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} &= |D\varphi|^2 + t \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |D\varphi|^2 + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\varphi|^2 \\ &\leq (|D\varphi|^2 + t[(n-1)\Delta |D\varphi|^2 + C_1 K |D\varphi|^2]) + (n-1)\Delta |D\varphi|^2 \\ &\quad - 2(n-1) |D\varphi|^2\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Delta F = t\Delta|D\varphi|^2 + \Delta|\varphi|^2$$

thus

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \leq (n-1)\Delta F - [2(n-1) - 1 - tC_1K]|D\varphi|^2$$

Then if $t \leq \frac{2n-3}{C_1K}$, we have

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \leq (n-1)\Delta F$$

and the maximum of F decreases.

At initial time $t = 0$, $F = |\varphi|^2 = \varepsilon^2$, hence $F \leq \varepsilon^2$ for $t \geq 0$. Thus $|D\varphi| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{t}}$ for $0 < t \leq \frac{2n-3}{C_1K}$.

Then we consider the second derivative $D_a D_b \varphi$.

$$\begin{aligned} D_t D_a D_b \varphi &= D_t (F_a^i D_i D_b \varphi) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} R F_a^i D_i D_b \varphi + F_a^i D_i D_t D_b \varphi \\ &= \frac{1}{2} R F_a^i F_b^j D_i D_j \varphi + F_a^i D_i \left(\frac{1}{2} R F_b^j D_j \varphi + F_b^j D_t D_j \varphi \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} R F_a^i F_b^j D_i D_j \varphi + \frac{1}{2} F_a^i F_b^j (D_i R \cdot D_j \varphi + R D_i D_j \varphi) \\ &\quad + D_a D_b \Delta \varphi \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta D_a D_b \varphi &= g^{ij} D_i D_j D_a D_b \varphi \\ &= g^{ij} F_a^m F_b^n D_i D_j D_m D_n \varphi \\ &= g^{ij} F_a^m F_b^n D_i (D_m D_j D_n \varphi - R_{jmn}^l D_l \varphi) \\ &= g^{ij} F_a^m F_b^n D_i D_m D_n D_j \varphi - g^{ij} F_a^m F_b^n D_i (R_{jmn}^l D_l \varphi) \\ &= g^{ij} F_a^m F_b^n (D_m D_i D_n D_j \varphi - R_{imn}^p D_p D_j \varphi - R_{imj}^p D_n D_p \varphi) \\ &\quad - g^{ij} F_a^m F_b^n D_i (R_{jmn}^l D_l \varphi) \\ &= g^{ij} F_a^m F_b^n D_m (D_n D_i D_j \varphi - R_{inj}^l D_l \varphi) \\ &\quad - g^{ij} F_a^m F_b^n (R_{imn}^p D_p D_j \varphi + R_{imj}^p D_n D_p \varphi) - g^{ij} F_a^m F_b^n D_i (R_{jmn}^l D_l \varphi) \\ &= D_a D_b \Delta \varphi - g^{ij} F_a^m F_b^n D_m (R_{inj}^l D_l \varphi) \\ &\quad - g^{ij} F_a^m F_b^n (R_{imn}^p D_p D_j \varphi + R_{imj}^p D_n D_p \varphi) - g^{ij} F_a^m F_b^n D_i (R_{jmn}^l D_l \varphi) \end{aligned}$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}
D_t D_a D_b \varphi &= \Delta D_a D_b \varphi + \frac{1}{2} R F_a^i F_b^j D_i D_j \varphi + \frac{1}{2} F_a^i F_b^j (D_i R \cdot D_j \varphi + R D_i D_j \varphi) \\
&\quad - g^{ij} F_a^m F_b^n D_m (R_{inj}^l D_l \varphi) - g^{ij} F_a^m F_b^n (R_{imn}^p D_p D_j \varphi + R_{imj}^p D_n D_p \varphi) \\
&\quad - g^{ij} F_a^m F_b^n D_i (R_{jmn}^l D_l \varphi)
\end{aligned}$$

Since the curvature is bounded, the solution to the evolution equation of the orthonormal frame remains bounded on finite time interval $[0, t_0]$. We can denote the upper bound as a nonnegative constant M . Thus we have the inequality

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} |D^2 \varphi|^2 \leq (n-1) \Delta |D^2 \varphi|^2 + \frac{1}{t} C_2 M |D^2 \varphi|^2$$

where $\frac{1}{t}$ comes from the local derivative estimate in Lemma 2.3.

Now we put

$$G = t^2 |D^2 \varphi|^2 + |D\varphi|^2$$

and compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial G}{\partial t} &= 2t |D^2 \varphi|^2 + t^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |D^2 \varphi|^2 + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |D\varphi|^2 \\
&\leq 2t |D^2 \varphi|^2 + t^2 [(n-1) \Delta |D^2 \varphi|^2 + \frac{1}{t} C_2 M |D^2 \varphi|^2] \\
&\quad + (n-1) \Delta |D\varphi|^2 - 2(n-1) |D^2 \varphi|^2 + C_1 K |D\varphi|^2
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Delta G = t^2 \Delta |D^2 \varphi|^2 + \Delta |D\varphi|^2$$

thus

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial t} \leq (n-1) \Delta G + (2t + t C_2 K) |D^2 \varphi|^2 - 2(n-1) |D^2 \varphi|^2 + C_1 K |D\varphi|^2.$$

Since $|D\varphi| \leq \delta < \rho \leq |D^2 \varphi|$ at $t = 0$, there is a constant $t_1 > 0$ such that $|D\varphi| \leq |D^2 \varphi|$ when $0 < t \leq t_1$. Then if $t \leq \min\{\frac{2n-3}{2+C_2 K}, t_0, t_1\}$, we have

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial t} \leq (n-1) \Delta G$$

and the maximum of the function G decreases from the maximum principle. Denote $\min\{\frac{2n-3}{2+C_2 K}, t_0, t_1\}$ by t_2 from now on.

At time $t = 0$, $G = |D\varphi|^2 \leq \delta^2$, hence for $t \geq 0$ also. Thus $|D^2\varphi| \leq \frac{\delta}{t}$ for $0 < t \leq t_2$.

Since $|\Delta\varphi|^2 \leq n|D^2\varphi|^2$ and φ solves the equation $\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t} = (n-1)\Delta\varphi$,

$$\left|\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}\right| \leq \frac{C_3\delta}{t}$$

for $0 < t \leq t_2$ where $C_3 = \sqrt{n(n-1)}$.

Thus for every point $P \in M - \overline{B_{t=0}(O, 2\sigma)}$,

$$|\varphi(P, t) - \varphi(P, 0)| \leq C_3\delta \ln t$$

for $0 < t \leq t_2$, we can take

$$\delta \leq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{C_3 \ln t_2}$$

so that $C_3\delta \ln t \leq \varepsilon^2$ for $0 < t \leq t_2$. Then $\varphi \leq 2\varepsilon^2$ at times $0 < t \leq t_2$ on $M - \overline{B_{t=0}(O, 2\sigma)}$.

Notice that distances may expand, but from Lemma 2.1.1 we have $s(0)e^{-(n-1)Kt} \leq s(t) \leq s(0)e^{(n-1)Kt}$. This gives us a constant C_4 such that if $s \geq C_4 \cdot 2\sigma$ at P at $t \leq t_2$, then $s \geq 2\sigma$ and $\varphi \leq 2\varepsilon^2$ at P at time t .

Now at initial time $t = 0$, $|Rm|^2 \leq M^2 = \varphi$ if $s \leq \sigma$, and $|Rm|^2 \leq \varepsilon^2 \leq \varphi$ if $s \geq \sigma$. Thus $|Rm|^2 \leq \varphi$ everywhere at $t = 0$.

Since

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|Rm|^2 \leq (n-1)\Delta|Rm|^2 + C|Rm|^3$$

we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|Rm|^2 \leq (n-1)\Delta|Rm|^2 + CK|Rm|^2$$

while

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(e^{CKt}\varphi) = (n-1)\Delta(e^{CKt}\varphi) + CK(e^{CKt}\varphi)$$

so $|Rm|^2 \leq e^{CKt}\varphi$ on $M - \overline{B_{t=0}(O, 2\sigma)}$ from the maximum principle.

For $t \leq t_2$, this gives $|Rm|^2 \leq C_5\varphi$ for some constant C_5 depending only on the dimension. Hence at time t we have

$$|Rm|^2 \leq C_6\varepsilon^2$$

for $s \geq C_6\rho$ where the constant C depends only on the dimension and is independent of ε . Thus $|Rm| \rightarrow 0$ for $t \leq t_2$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$.

Since the time interval can always be advanced by t_2 , we get the result.

□

Now we can follow get Theorem 1.2 immediately.

Proof of Theorem 1.2: Let M^n be a complete manifold under the assumption of Theorem 1.1 and has nonnegative Ricci curvature. Choose an origin $O \in M$ and by the Bishop-Gromove volume comparison theorem,

$$s \mapsto \frac{\text{Vol}(B(O, s))}{s^n}$$

is nonincreasing. Denote ω_n the volume of the unit ball in the n -dimensional Euclidean space. We have

$$\text{AVR}(g) \leq \frac{\text{Vol}(B(O, s))}{s^n} \leq \omega_n$$

from the Bishop-Gromove volume comparison theorem. For any $\delta > 0$ and $s > 0$, consider the annulus

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\delta s, s} &\doteq \{x \in M^n \mid \delta s \leq d_g(x, O) \leq s\} \\ &= B_g(O, s) - B_g(O, \delta s) \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3.5 in [3](also Lemma B.40 in [2]), the distance of any two points changes as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [\text{dist}_{g(t)}(x, y)] &= -\frac{1}{2} \sup_{\gamma} \int_{\gamma} R ds \\ &\geq -4n(n-1)K^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

where the inequality above comes from the upper bound of $|Rm|$ and Prop 1.94 in [2].

Now consider the volume of the annulus and follow the proof of Prop 8.37 in [2], we can get the result immediately. □

References

- [1] R.S.Hamilton, The Formation of Singularities in the Ricci Flow. Surveys in Diff.Geom.Vol 2 pp7-136,1995.

- [2] B.Chow,P.Lu,L.Ni, Hamilton's Ricci Flow, Lectures in Contemporary Mathematics 3.2005.
- [3] R.S.Hamilton, Four-Manifolds with Positive Curvature Operator. J.Diff.Geom.Vol 24 pp153-179,1996.
- [4] H.L.Gu, Manifolds with Pointwise Ricci Pinched Curvature, arXiv:math.DG/0707.0034 v1 June 30, 2007.
- [5] Huaidong Cao and Xiping Zhu, A Complete Proof of the Poincare and Geometrization Conjectures-Application of the Hamilton-Perelman Theory of the Ricci Flow. Asian J.Math.Vol 10,No 2,pp165-492,2006.