

A REMARK ON WEIGHTED BERGMAN KERNELS ON ORBIFOLDS

XIANZHE DAI, KEFENG LIU, AND XIAONAN MA

ABSTRACT. In this note, we explain that Ross-Thomas' result [4, Theorem 1.7] on the weighted Bergman kernels on orbifolds can be directly deduced from our previous result [1]. This result plays an important role in the companion paper [5] to prove an orbifold version of Donaldson Theorem.

In two very interesting papers [4, 5], Ross-Thomas describe a notion of ampleness for line bundles on Kähler orbifolds with cyclic quotient singularities which is related to embeddings in weighted projective spaces. They then apply the results in [4] to prove an orbifold version of Donaldson Theorem [5]. Namely, the existence of an orbifold Kähler metric with constant scalar curvature implies certain stability condition for the orbifold. In these papers, the result [4, Theorem 1.7] on the asymptotic expansion of Bergman kernels plays a crucial role.

In this note, we explain how to directly derive Ross-Thomas' result [4, Theorem 1.7] from Dai-Liu-Ma [1, (5.25)], provided Ross-Thomas condition [4, (1.8)] on c_i holds. Since in [1, §5], we state our results for general symplectic orbifolds, in what follows, we will just use the version from [2, Theorem 5.4.11], where Ma-Marinescu wrote them in detail for Kähler orbifolds. We will use freely the notation in [2, §5.4]. We assume also the auxiliary vector bundle E therein is \mathbb{C} .

Let (X, J, ω) be a compact n -dimensional Kähler orbifold with complex structure J , and with singular set X_{sing} . Let (L, h^L) be a holomorphic Hermitian proper orbifold line bundle on X . Let ∇^L be the holomorphic Hermitian connections on (L, h^L) with curvature $R^L = (\nabla^L)^2$.

We assume that (L, h^L, ∇^L) is a prequantum line bundle, i.e.,

$$(0.1) \quad R^L = -2\pi\sqrt{-1}\omega.$$

Let $g^{TX} = \omega(\cdot, J\cdot)$ be the Riemannian metric on X induced by ω . Let ∇^{TX} be the Levi-Civita connection on (X, g^{TX}) . We denote by $R^{TX} = (\nabla^{TX})^2$ the curvature, by r^X the scalar curvature of ∇^{TX} . For $x \in X$, set $d(x, X_{\text{sing}}) := \inf_{y \in X_{\text{sing}}} d(x, y)$ the distance from x to X_{sing} .

For $p \in \mathbb{N}$, the Bergman kernel $P_p(x, x')$ ($x, x' \in X$) is the smooth kernel of the orthogonal projection from $\mathcal{C}^\infty(X, L^p)$ onto $H^0(X, L^p)$, with respect to the Riemannian volume form $dv_X(x')$.

Theorem 0.1 ([1, Theorem 1.4], [2, Theorem 5.4.10]). *There exist smooth coefficients $\mathbf{b}_r(x) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X)$ which are polynomials in R^{TX} , and its derivatives with order $\leq 2r - 2$ at x and $C_0 > 0$ such that for any $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $C_{k,l} > 0$, $M \in \mathbb{N}$ with*

$$(0.2) \quad \left| \frac{1}{p^n} P_p(x, x) - \sum_{r=0}^k \mathbf{b}_r(x) p^{-r} \right|_{\mathcal{C}^l} \leq C_{k,l} \left(p^{-k-1} + p^{l/2} (1 + \sqrt{p} d(x, X_{\text{sing}})) \right)^M e^{-\sqrt{C_0 p} d(x, X_{\text{sing}})},$$

for any $x \in X$, $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Moreover

$$(0.3) \quad \mathbf{b}_0 = 1, \quad \mathbf{b}_1 = \frac{1}{8\pi} r^X.$$

In local coordinates, there is a more precise form [1, (5.25)], see also [2, Theorem 5.4.11]. Let $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^I \subset X_{\text{sing}}$. For each point x_i we consider corresponding local charts $(G_{x_i}, \tilde{U}_{x_i}) \rightarrow U_{x_i}$ with $\tilde{U}_{x_i} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, such that $0 \in \tilde{U}_{x_i}$ is the inverse image of $x_i \in U_{x_i}$, and 0 is a fixed point of the finite stabilizer group G_{x_i} at x_i , which acts \mathbb{C} -linearly and effectively on \mathbb{C}^n (cf. [2, Lemma 5.4.3]). We assume moreover that

$$B^{\tilde{U}_{x_i}}(0, 2\varepsilon) \subset \tilde{U}_{x_i}, \quad \text{and } X_{\text{sing}} \subset W := \cup_{i=1}^I B^{\tilde{U}_{x_i}}(0, \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon)/G_{x_i}.$$

Let $\tilde{U}_{x_i}^g$ be the fixed point set of $g \in G_{x_i}$ in \tilde{U}_{x_i} , and let $\tilde{N}_{x_i,g}$ be the normal bundle of $\tilde{U}_{x_i}^g$ in \tilde{U}_{x_i} . For each $g \in G_{x_i}$, the exponential map $\tilde{N}_{x_i,g} \ni Y \rightarrow \exp_{\tilde{x}}^{\tilde{U}_{x_i}}(Y)$ identifies a neighborhood of $\tilde{U}_{x_i}^g$ with $\tilde{W}_{x_i,g} = \{Y \in \tilde{N}_{x_i,g}, |Y| \leq \varepsilon\}$. We identify $L|_{\tilde{W}_{x_i,g}}$ with $L|_{\tilde{U}_{x_i}^g}$ by using the parallel transport along the above exponential map. Then the g -action on $L|_{\tilde{W}_{x_i,g}}$ is the multiplication by $e^{i\theta_g}$, and θ_g is locally constant on $\tilde{U}_{x_i}^g$.

Let $\nabla^{\tilde{N}_{x_i,g}}$ be the connection on $\tilde{N}_{x_i,g}$ induced by the Levi-Civita connection via projection. We trivialize $\tilde{N}_{x_i,g} \simeq \tilde{U}_{x_i}^g \times \mathbb{C}^{n_g}$ by the parallel transport along the curve $[0, 1] \ni t \rightarrow t\tilde{Z}_{1,g}$ for $\tilde{Z}_{1,g} \in \tilde{U}_{x_i}^g$, which identifies also the metric on $\tilde{N}_{x_i,g}$ with the canonical metric on \mathbb{C}^{n_g} . If $\tilde{Z} \in \tilde{W}_{x_i,g}$, we will write $\tilde{Z} = (\tilde{Z}_{1,g}, \tilde{Z}_{2,g})$ with $\tilde{Z}_{1,g} \in \tilde{U}_{x_i}^g$, $\tilde{Z}_{2,g} \in \mathbb{C}^{n_g}$. We will denote by Z the corresponding point on the orbifold.

Theorem 0.2 ([1, (5.25)], [2, Theorem 5.4.11]). *On \tilde{U}_{x_i} as above, there exist polynomials $\mathcal{K}_{r,\tilde{Z}_{1,g}}(\tilde{Z}_{2,g})$ in $\tilde{Z}_{2,g}$ of degree $\leq 3r$, of the same parity as r , whose coefficients are polynomials in R^{TX} and its derivatives of order $\leq r-2$, and a constant $C_0 > 0$ such that for any $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $C_{k,l} > 0$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$(0.4) \quad \left| \frac{1}{p^n} P_p(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{Z}) - \sum_{r=0}^k \mathbf{b}_r(\tilde{Z}) p^{-r} - \sum_{r=0}^{2k} p^{-\frac{r}{2}} \sum_{1 \neq g \in G_{x_0}} e^{i\theta_g p} \mathcal{K}_{r,\tilde{Z}_{1,g}}(\sqrt{p}\tilde{Z}_{2,g}) e^{-2\pi p \langle (1-g^{-1})\tilde{z}_{2,g}, \overline{\tilde{z}_{2,g}} \rangle} \right|_{\mathcal{C}^l} \\ \leq C_{k,l} \left(p^{-k-1} + p^{-k+\frac{l-1}{2}} (1 + \sqrt{p}d(Z, X_{\text{sing}}))^N e^{-\sqrt{C_0} p d(Z, X_{\text{sing}})} \right),$$

for any $|\tilde{Z}| \leq \varepsilon/2$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, with $\mathbf{b}_r(\tilde{Z})$ as in Theorem 0.1 and $\mathcal{K}_{0,\tilde{Z}_{1,g}} = 1$.

Given a function $f(p, x)$ in $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in X$, we write $f = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}^j}(p^l)$ if the \mathcal{C}^j -norm of f is uniformly bounded by $C p^l$.

Theorem 0.3. *Let (X, ω) be a compact n -dimensional Kähler orbifold with cyclic quotient singularities (i.e., the stabilizer group G_x is a cyclic group for any $x \in X$), and L be a proper orbifold line bundle on X equipped with a Hermitian metric h^L whose curvature form is $-2\pi\sqrt{-1}\omega$, such that for any $x \in X$, the stabilizer group G_x acts on $L_{\tilde{x}}$ as $\mathbb{Z}_{|G_x|}$ -order cyclic group. Fix $N \geq 0$, and $r \geq 0$ and suppose c_i are a finite number of positive constants chosen so that if X has an orbifold point of order m then*

$$(0.5) \quad \frac{1}{m} \sum_i i^k c_i = \sum_{i \equiv u \pmod{m}} i^k c_i \quad \text{for all } u \text{ and all } k = 0, \dots, N+r.$$

Then the function

$$(0.6) \quad B_p^{\text{orb}}(x) := \sum_i c_i P_{p+i}(x, x).$$

admits a global \mathcal{C}^{2r} -expansion of order N . That is, there exist smooth functions b_0, \dots, b_N on X such that

$$(0.7) \quad B_p^{\text{orb}} = \sum_{j=0}^N b_j p^{n-j} + \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}^{2r}}(p^{n-N-1}).$$

Furthermore, b_j are universal polynomials in the constants c_i and the derivatives of ω ; in particular

$$(0.8) \quad b_0 = \sum_i c_i, \quad b_1 = \sum_i c_i \left(n i + \frac{1}{8\pi} r^X \right).$$

Remark 0.4. Theorem 0.3 recovers [4, Theorem 1.7] of Ross-Thomas, where the remainder estimate is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^r}(p^{n-N-1})$.

We improve here their remainder estimate to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{2r}}(p^{n-N-1})$ and we get Theorem 0.3 directly from Theorems 0.1, 0.2.

Remark 0.5. By Ma-Marinescu [3, (3.30), Remark 3.10], [2, Theorem 4.1.3, Remark 5.4.13], Theorem 0.3 generalizes to any J -invariant metric g^{TX} on TX . Set $\Theta := g^{TX}(J \cdot, \cdot)$. The only change is that the coefficients in the expansion become

$$(0.9) \quad b_0 = \frac{\omega^n}{\Theta^n} \sum_i c_i, \quad b_1 = \frac{\omega^n}{\Theta^n} \sum_i c_i \left[n i + \frac{r_\omega^X}{8\pi} - \frac{1}{4\pi} \Delta_\omega \log \left(\frac{\omega^n}{\Theta^n} \right) \right],$$

where r_ω^X , Δ_ω are the scalar curvature and the Bochner Laplacian associated to $g_\omega^{TX} = \omega(\cdot, J \cdot)$. Moreover, (0.7) can be taken to be uniform as (h^L, g^{TX}) runs over a compact set.

Proof of Theorem 0.3. Recall that now G is a cyclic group of order m . Let ζ be a generator of G . From the local condition for orbi-ample line bundles, ζ acts on L_{x_i} as a primitive m -th root of unity λ . Thus in (0.4), $e^{i\theta\zeta^u} = \lambda^u$. For $u \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$, set

$$(0.10) \quad \eta_u = e^{-2\pi \langle (1-\zeta^{-u}) \tilde{z}_{2,\zeta^u}, \bar{\tilde{z}}_{2,\zeta^u} \rangle},$$

$$S_u(\tilde{Z}) = \sum_i c_i \sum_{j=0}^{2N+2r+1} (p+i)^{n-\frac{j}{2}} \mathcal{K}_{j, \tilde{Z}_{1,\zeta^u}}(\sqrt{p+i} \tilde{Z}_{2,\zeta^u}) \lambda^{u(p+i)} \eta_u^{p+i},$$

$$\mathcal{S}_2 = \sum_{u=1}^{m-1} S_u, \quad \mathcal{S}_1 = \sum_i c_i \sum_{j=0}^{N+r} \mathbf{b}_j(\tilde{Z})(p+i)^{n-j}.$$

Here $Z = z + \bar{z}$, and $z = \sum_i z_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}$, $\bar{z} = \sum_i \bar{z}_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_i}$ when we consider them as vector fields, and $\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \right|^2 = \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_i} \right|^2 = \frac{1}{2}$. Similarly for \tilde{Z} (and those with subscripts).

Applying (0.4) for $k = N + r + 1$ we obtain for $|\tilde{Z}| \leq \varepsilon/2$,

$$(0.11) \quad \left| B_p^{\text{orb}}(\tilde{Z}) - \mathcal{S}_1 - \mathcal{S}_2 \right|_{\mathcal{C}^l} \\ \leq C_l p^{n-N-r-2} \left(1 + p^{\frac{l+1}{2}} (1 + \sqrt{p}d(Z, X_{\text{sing}}))^M e^{-\sqrt{C_0 p}d(Z, X_{\text{sing}})} \right) \\ + \sum_i c_i (p+i)^{n-N-r-1} \left(\sum_{u=1}^{m-1} \left| \mathcal{K}_{2N+2r+2, \tilde{Z}_{1, \zeta^u}}(\sqrt{p+i} \tilde{Z}_{2, \zeta^u}) \eta_u^{p+i} \right|_{\mathcal{C}^l} + \left| \mathbf{b}_{N+r+1}(\tilde{Z}) \right|_{\mathcal{C}^l} \right).$$

In what follows, we write for simplicity \tilde{Z}_{1, ζ^u} as $Z_{1, u}$ and \tilde{Z}_{2, ζ^u} as $Z_{2, u}$. For a function $f(p, Z)$ with $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $|Z| \leq \varepsilon/2$ we write $f = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}^j}(g(p, Z))$ if the \mathcal{C}^j -norm of f in Z can be uniformly controlled by $C|g(p, Z)|$.

Note that $\mathcal{K}_{j, Z_{1, u}}(Z_{2, u})$ is a polynomial in $Z_{2, u}$ with the same parity as j and $\deg \mathcal{K}_{j, Z_{1, u}} \leq 3j$. Denote by $\mathcal{K}_{j, Z_{1, u}, l}$ the l -homogeneous part of $\mathcal{K}_{j, Z_{1, u}}$. Then $\mathcal{K}_{j, Z_{1, u}, l} = 0$ if l and j are not in the same parity or $l > 3j$. By (0.10),

$$(0.12) \quad S_u(Z) = \sum_i c_i \sum_{j=0}^{2N+2r+1} \sum_l (p+i)^{n-\frac{j-l}{2}} \mathcal{K}_{j, Z_{1, u}, l}(Z_{2, u}) \lambda^{u(p+i)} \eta_u^{p+i} \\ = \lambda^{up} \sum_{j=0}^{2N+2r+1} \left\{ \left(\sum_{l \geq j-2n} \sum_{q=0}^{n-\frac{j-l}{2}} + \sum_{l < j-2n} \sum_{q=0}^{N+r} \right) \mathcal{K}_{j, Z_{1, u}, l}(\sqrt{p}Z_{2, u}) \right. \\ \left. \times p^{n-\frac{j}{2}-q} \binom{n-\frac{j-l}{2}}{q} \sum_i c_i i^q \lambda^{ui} \eta_u^{p+i} \right\} + \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}^{2r}}(p^{n-N-1}).$$

Here we used $(p+i)^\gamma = \sum_{q=0}^{N+r} p^{\gamma-q} \binom{\gamma}{q} i^q + \mathcal{O}(p^{\gamma-N-r-1})$ for $\gamma < 0$ and the following relations for $r', r'' \in \mathbb{N}$, $r'' \leq l$,

$$(0.13) \quad \mathcal{K}_{j, Z_{1, u}, l}(\sqrt{p}Z_{2, u}) \eta_u^p = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}^{r'}}(p^{\frac{r'}{2}} \eta_u^{p/2}), \\ \mathcal{K}_{j, Z_{1, u}, l}(\sqrt{p}Z_{2, u}) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}^{r''}}(p^{\frac{l}{2}} |Z_{2, u}|^{l-r''}).$$

In order to prove (0.7) it is sufficient to show that for $0 \leq l \leq N + r$, $r' \leq 2r$,

$$(0.14) \quad w_{l, p} := \sum_i c_i i^l \lambda^{ui} \eta_u^{p+i} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}^{r'}}(p^{l-N-r-1+\frac{r'}{2}} \eta_u^{p/2}).$$

In fact, we will prove that $w_{l, p} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}^{r'}}(p^{l-N-r-1+\frac{r'}{2}} \eta_u^{(\frac{3}{4}-\frac{r'}{8r})p})$ for $r' \leq 2r$.

Since $dw_{l,p} = \frac{d\eta_u}{\eta_u}(pw_{l,p} + w_{l+1,p})$, and $\frac{d\eta_u}{\eta_u}$ has a term $z_{2,u}$ or $\bar{z}_{2,u}$ which can be absorbed by $\eta_u^{\frac{1}{8r}p}$ to get a factor $p^{-1/2}$, we see by induction that it is sufficient to prove $w_{l,p} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}^0}(p^{l-N-r-1}\eta_u^{\frac{3}{4}p})$. To this end, write

$$(0.15) \quad w_{l,p} = \left[\frac{\sum_i c_i i^l \lambda^{ui} \eta_u^i}{(\eta_u - 1)^{N+r-l+1}} \right] (\eta_u - 1)^{N+r-l+1} \eta_u^p.$$

Since λ is a primitive m -th root of unity, $\lambda^u \neq 1$ if $u \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$. From [4, Lemma 3.5], under the condition (0.5), the function $\eta \rightarrow \sum_i c_i i^l \lambda^{ui} \eta^i$ has a root of order $N+r-l+1$ at $\eta = 1$ and so the term in square brackets is bounded.

For $|z_{2,u}| \leq \varepsilon$, we have by (0.10),

$$(0.16) \quad |\eta_u - 1| \leq C|z_{2,u}|^2.$$

By using (0.10) and (0.16) and the fact that $[0, \infty) \ni x \mapsto x^s e^{-x}$ is bounded for any $s \geq 0$, we get

$$(0.17) \quad (\eta_u - 1)^s \eta_u^{p/4} = \mathcal{O}(p^{-s}) \quad \text{for } s \geq 1.$$

Thus, $w_{l,p} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}^0}(p^{l-N-r-1}\eta_u^{\frac{3}{4}p})$ and (0.14) follows.

Back in (0.12), for $q > N+r$, the corresponding contribution is certainly $p^{n-\frac{j}{2}-q} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}^{2r}}(p^r \eta_u^{p/2}) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}^{2r}}(p^{n-N-1}\eta_u^{p/2})$, by (0.13). On the other hand, if $0 \leq q \leq N+r$, then, by (0.13) and (0.14), the corresponding contribution is $p^{n-\frac{j}{2}-q} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}^{2r}}(p^{q-N-r-1+r}(1+\sqrt{p}|Z_{2,u}|)^{6N+6r+3}\eta_u^{p/2}) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}^{2r}}(p^{n-N-1}\eta_u^{p/4})$ again. Thus $S_u = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}^{2r}}(p^{n-N-1})$.

From (0.10) and the above argument, $\mathcal{S}_2 = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}^{2r}}(p^{n-N-1})$. Combining with (0.10), (0.11) and (0.13), we get (0.7) and (0.8). \square

REFERENCES

- [1] X. Dai, K. Liu, and X. Ma, On the asymptotic expansion of Bergman kernel, J. Differential Geom. 72(2006), 1–41.
- [2] X. Ma and G. Marinescu, Holomorphic Morse inequalities and Bergman kernels, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 254, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2007, 422 pp.
- [3] X. Ma and G. Marinescu, Generalized Bergman kernels on symplectic manifolds, Adv. Math. 217 (2008), no. 4, 1756–1815.
- [4] J. Ross and R. Thomas, Weighted Bergman kernels on orbifolds. arXiv:0907.5215, J. Differential Geom. 88(2011), 87–108.
- [5] J. Ross and R. Thomas, Weighted projective embeddings, stability of orbifolds, and constant scalar curvature Kähler metrics, J. Differential Geom. 88(2011), 109–160.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UCSB, CA 93106 USA
E-mail address: dai@math.ucsb.edu

CENTER OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE, ZHEJIANG UNIVERSITY AND DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UCLA, CA 90095-1555, USA
E-mail address: liu@math.ucla.edu

UNIVERSITÉ PARIS DIDEROT - PARIS 7, UFR DE MATHÉMATIQUES, CASE 7012, SITE CHEVALERET, 75205 PARIS CEDEX 13, FRANCE
E-mail address: ma@math.jussieu.fr