

THE N-POINT FUNCTIONS FOR INTERSECTION NUMBERS ON MODULI SPACES OF CURVES

KEFENG LIU AND HAO XU

ABSTRACT. We derive from Witten's KdV equation a simple formula of the n -point functions for intersection numbers on moduli spaces of curves, generalizing Dijkgraaf's two-point function and Zagier's three-point function. This formula uncovers many new identities about integrals of ψ classes and provides an elementary and more efficient algorithm to compute intersection numbers other than the celebrated Witten-Kontsevich theorem.

1. INTRODUCTION

We denote by $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ the moduli space of stable n -pointed genus g complex algebraic curves. Let ψ_i be the first Chern class of the line bundle whose fiber over each pointed stable curve is the cotangent line at the i -th marked point.

We adopt Witten's notation in this paper,

$$\langle \tau_{d_1} \cdots \tau_{d_n} \rangle_g := \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \psi_1^{d_1} \cdots \psi_n^{d_n}.$$

These intersection numbers are the correlation functions of two dimensional topological quantum gravity. In the famous paper [7], Witten made the remarkable conjecture (proved by Kontsevich [4]) that the generating function of above intersection numbers are governed by KdV hierarchy, which provides a recursive way to compute all these intersection numbers. Witten's conjecture was reformulated by Dijkgraaf, Verlinde, and Verlinde [DVV] in terms of the Virasoro algebra.

Definition 1.1. We call the following generating function

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\sum d_j = 3g - 3 + n} \langle \tau_{d_1} \cdots \tau_{d_n} \rangle_g \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{d_j}$$

the n -point function.

The n -point function encodes all information of the correlation functions of two dimensional topological quantum gravity. Okounkov [6] obtained an analytic expression of the n -point functions using n -dimensional error-function-type integrals. Brézin and Hikami [1] apply correlation functions of GUE ensemble to find explicit formulae of n -point functions.

The first key point is to consider the following "normalized" n -point function

$$G(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \exp\left(\frac{-\sum_{j=1}^n x_j^3}{24}\right) \cdot F(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

In particular, we have 1-point function $G(x) = \frac{1}{x^2}$, Dijkgraaf's 2-point function

$$G(x, y) = \frac{1}{x+y} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{k!}{(2k+1)!} \left(\frac{1}{2} xy(x+y) \right)^k$$

and Zagier's 3-point function [8] which we learned from Faber,

$$G(x, y, z) = \sum_{r, s \geq 0} \frac{r! S_r(x, y, z)}{4^r (2r+1)!! \cdot 2} \cdot \frac{\Delta^s}{8^s (r+s+1)!},$$

where $S_r(x, y, z)$ and Δ are the homogeneous symmetric polynomials defined by

$$S_r(x, y, z) = \frac{(xy)^r (x+y)^{r+1} + (yz)^r (y+z)^{r+1} + (zx)^r (z+x)^{r+1}}{x+y+z} \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y, z],$$

$$\Delta(x, y, z) = (x+y)(y+z)(z+x) = \frac{(x+y+z)^3}{3} - \frac{x^3 + y^3 + z^3}{3}.$$

Although two and three point functions are found in the early 1990's, it's not obvious at all that clean explicit formulae of general n -point functions should exist. Recall that we only have closed formula of intersection numbers in genus zero and one. Now we state the main theorem of this note.

Theorem 1.2. *For $n \geq 2$,*

$$(1) \quad G(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{r, s \geq 0} \frac{(2r+n-3)!!}{4^s (2r+2s+n-1)!!} P_r(x_1, \dots, x_n) \cdot \Delta(x_1, \dots, x_n)^s,$$

where P_r and Δ are homogeneous symmetric polynomials defined by

$$\Delta(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j)^3 - \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^3}{3},$$

$$P_r(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \left(\frac{1}{2 \cdot \sum_{j=1}^n x_j} \sum_{\underline{n}=I \amalg J} \left(\sum_{i \in I} x_i \right)^2 \cdot \left(\sum_{i \in J} x_i \right)^2 \cdot G(x_I) \cdot G(x_J) \right)_{3r+n-3}$$

$$(2) \quad = \frac{1}{2 \cdot \sum_{j=1}^n x_j} \sum_{\underline{n}=I \amalg J} \left(\sum_{i \in I} x_i \right)^2 \cdot \left(\sum_{i \in J} x_i \right)^2 \cdot \sum_{r'=0}^r G_{r'}(x_I) G_{r-r'}(x_J),$$

where $I, J \neq \emptyset$, $\underline{n} = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $G_g(x_I)$ denotes the degree $3g + |I| - 3$ homogeneous component of the normalized $|I|$ -point function $G(x_{k_1}, \dots, x_{k_{|I|}})$, where $k_j \in I$.

Note that the degree $3r + n - 3$ polynomial $P_r(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is expressed by normalized $|I|$ -point functions $G(x_I)$ with $|I| < n$. So we can recursively obtain an explicit formula of the n -point function

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \exp \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n x_j^3}{24} \right) \cdot G(x_1, \dots, x_n),$$

thus we have an elementary algorithm to calculate all intersection numbers of ψ classes other than the celebrated Witten-Kontsevich's theorem [4, 7], which is the only feasible way known before to calculate all intersection numbers of ψ classes.

Since $P_0(x, y) = \frac{1}{x+y}$, $P_r(x, y) = 0$ for $r > 0$, we get Dijkgraaf's 2-point function. From

$$P_r(x, y, z) = \frac{r!}{2^r(2r+1)!} \cdot \frac{(xy)^r(x+y)^{r+1} + (yz)^r(y+z)^{r+1} + (zx)^r(z+x)^{r+1}}{x+y+z},$$

we also easily recover Zagier's 3-point function obtained more than ten years ago.

There is another slightly different formula of n -point functions. When $n = 3$, this has also been obtained by Zagier [8].

Theorem 1.3. *For $n \geq 2$,*

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \exp \frac{(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j)^3}{24} \sum_{r,s \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^s P_r(x_1, \dots, x_n) \cdot \Delta(x_1, \dots, x_n)^s}{8^s(2r+2s+n-1)s!}$$

where P_r and Δ are the same polynomials as defined in theorem 1.2.

Theorem 1.3 follows from Theorem 1.2 and the following lemma.

Lemma 1.4. *Let $n \geq 2$ and $r, s \geq 0$. Then the following identity holds,*

$$(3) \quad \frac{(-1)^s}{8^s(2r+2s+n-1)s!} = \sum_{k=0}^s \frac{(-1)^k}{8^k k!} \cdot \frac{(2r+n-3)!!}{4^{s-k}(2r+2s-2k+n-1)!!}$$

Proof. Let $p = 2r + n \geq 2$ and

$$f(p, s) = \sum_{k=0}^s \frac{(-1)^k}{2^k k! (p+2s-2k-1)!!}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} f(p, s) &= \sum_{k=0}^s \frac{(-1)^k (p+2s+1)}{2^k k! (p+2s-2k+1)!!} + \sum_{k=0}^s \frac{2k(-1)^{k-1}}{2^k k! (p+2s-2k+1)!!} \\ &= (p+2s+1) \left(f(p, s+1) - \frac{(-1)^{s+1}}{2^{s+1}(s+1)!(p-1)!!} \right) + f(p, s) - \frac{(-1)^s}{2^s s! (p-1)!!}. \end{aligned}$$

So we have the following identity

$$f(p, s+1) = \frac{(-1)^{s+1}}{2^{s+1}(p+2s+1)(s+1)!(p-3)!!},$$

which is just the identity (3) if $s+1$ is replaced by s . □

In Section 2 we give a proof of the main theorem. Section 3 contains many new identities of the intersection numbers of the ψ classes derived from our formula of the n -point functions. In Section 4 we briefly discuss other applications of the n -point functions.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Professor Sergei Lando, Edward Witten and Don Zagier for helpful comments and their interests in this work. We also want to thank Professor Carel Faber for his wonderful Maple programm for calculating Hodge integrals and for communicating Zagier's three-point function to us.

2. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

We can derive from Witten's KdV equation the following coefficient equation (see [3, 7]),

$$(2d_1 + 1) \langle \tau_{d_1} \tau_0^2 \prod_{j=2}^n \tau_{d_j} \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \langle \tau_{d_1-1} \tau_0^4 \prod_{j=2}^n \tau_{d_j} \rangle \\ + \sum_{\{2, \dots, n\} = I \amalg J} \left(\langle \tau_{d_1-1} \tau_0 \prod_{i \in I} \tau_{d_i} \rangle \langle \tau_0^3 \prod_{i \in J} \tau_{d_i} \rangle + 2 \langle \tau_{d_1-1} \tau_0^2 \prod_{i \in I} \tau_{d_i} \rangle \langle \tau_0^2 \prod_{i \in J} \tau_{d_i} \rangle \right),$$

which is equivalent to the following differential equation of n -point functions $F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$,

$$\left(2x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + 1 \right) \left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right)^2 \cdot F(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right) = \left(\frac{x_1}{4} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right)^4 + x_1 \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right) \cdot F(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ + \frac{x_1}{2} \sum_{n=I \amalg J} \left(\left(\sum_{i \in I} x_i \right) \left(\sum_{i \in J} x_i \right)^3 + 2 \left(\sum_{i \in I} x_i \right)^2 \left(\sum_{i \in J} x_i \right)^2 \right) F(x_I) F(x_J).$$

So in order to prove Theorem 1.2, we need to check that

$$E(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right) \cdot G(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

satisfies the following differential equation,

$$\left(2x_1 \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} E(x_1, \dots, x_n) + \left(x_1 + \frac{x_1^3}{4} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j + \sum_{j=1}^n x_j - \frac{x_1}{4} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right)^3 \right) E(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ (4) \quad = \frac{x_1}{2} \sum_{n=I \amalg J} \left(\left(\sum_{i \in J} x_i \right)^2 + 2 \left(\sum_{i \in I} x_i \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{i \in J} x_i \right) \right) E(x_I) E(x_J).$$

The verification is straightforward from the definition of $G(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ in Theorem 1.2.

We now prove the following initial value condition of $G(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, thus conclude the proof of Theorem 1.2.

$$G(x_1, \dots, x_n, 0) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right) \cdot G(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

Let

$$M_r(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \sum_{n=I \amalg J} \left(\sum_{i \in I} x_i \right)^3 \cdot \left(\sum_{i \in J} x_i \right)^2 \cdot \sum_{r'=0}^r G_{r'}(x_I) G_{r-r'}(x_J).$$

For the left hand side, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right) \cdot \text{LHS} \\
&= \sum_{r,s \geq 0} \frac{(2r+n-2)!!}{4^s(2r+2s+n)!!} \cdot \left(M_r + \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right)^2 G_r(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right) \cdot \Delta(x_1, \dots, x_n)^s \\
&= \sum_{r,s \geq 0} \frac{(2r+n-2)!!}{4^s(2r+2s+n)!!} M_r \Delta^s + \sum_{r,s \geq 0} \frac{(2r+n-2)!!}{4^s(2r+2s+n)!!} \sum_{p+q=r} \frac{(2p+n-3)!!}{4^q(2r+n-1)!!} M_p \Delta^{q+s} \\
&= \sum_{r,s \geq 0} \left(\frac{(2r+n-2)!!}{4^s(2r+2s+n)!!} + \sum_{k=r}^{r+s} \frac{(2k+n-2)!!(2r+n-3)!!}{4^s(2r+2s+n)!!(2k+n-1)!!} \right) M_r \Delta^s,
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last equation we have used change of variables.

While for the right hand side,

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right) \cdot \text{RHS} = \sum_{r,s \geq 0} \frac{(2r+n-3)!!}{4^s(2r+2s+n-1)!!} \cdot M_r \cdot \Delta^s.$$

So we need only prove the following combinatorial identity

$$\frac{(2r+n-2)!!}{4^s(2r+2s+n)!!} + \sum_{k=r}^{r+s} \frac{(2k+n-2)!!(2r+n-3)!!}{4^s(2r+2s+n)!!(2k+n-1)!!} = \frac{(2r+n-3)!!}{4^s(2r+2s+n-1)!!}$$

$$\text{i.e.} \quad \frac{(2r+n-2)!!}{(2r+n-3)!!} + \sum_{k=r}^{r+s} \frac{(2k+n-2)!!}{(2k+n-1)!!} = \frac{(2r+2s+n)!!}{(2r+2s+n-1)!!}$$

for all $n \geq 2$ and $r, s \geq 0$. It follows easily from the following identity

$$\frac{(p+1)!!}{p!!} + \frac{(p+1)!!}{(p+2)!!} = \frac{(p+3)!!}{(p+2)!!}.$$

It is typical that from the formula of n -point functions in Theorem 1.2, many assertions about intersection numbers will be reduced to combinatorial identities.

3. NEW PROPERTIES OF THE N-POINT FUNCTIONS

In this section we derive various new identities about the intersection numbers of the ψ classes by using our simple formula of the n -point functions.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $n \geq 2$.*

(1) *We have the following recursion relation for normalized n -point functions*

$$G_g(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{1}{(2g+n-1)} P_g(x_1, \dots, x_n) + \frac{\Delta(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{4(2g+n-1)} G_{g-1}(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

(2) *The following identity holds*

$$\Delta(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1^2 \left(\sum_{j=2}^n x_j \right) + x_1 \left(\sum_{j=2}^n x_j \right)^2 + \Delta(x_2, \dots, x_n).$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
G_g(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \sum_{r+s=g} \frac{(2r+n-3)!!}{4^s(2g+n-1)!!} P_r(x_1, \dots, x_n) \cdot \Delta(x_1, \dots, x_n)^s \\
&= \frac{1}{2g+n-1} P_g(x_1, \dots, x_n) + \sum_{r+s=g-1} \frac{(2r+n-3)!!}{4^{s+1}(2g+n-1)!!} P_r(x_1, \dots, x_n) \Delta(x_1, \dots, x_n)^{s+1} \\
&= \frac{1}{(2g+n-1)} P_g(x_1, \dots, x_n) + \frac{\Delta(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{4(2g+n-1)} G_{g-1}(x_1, \dots, x_n).
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of (2) is easy. \square

Let $\mathcal{C}\left(\prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{d_j}, P(x_1, \dots, x_n)\right)$ denotes the coefficient of $\prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{d_j}$ in a polynomial or formal power series $P(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. From the inductive structure in the definition of n -point functions, we have the following basic properties of n -point functions, their proofs are purely combinatorial.

First consider the normalized $(n+1)$ -point function $G(z, x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Here we use the variable z to distinguish one point. We have the following theorem about the coefficients of $G(z, x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $2g-2+n \geq 0$.*

(1) *If $k > 2g-2+n$, $d_j \geq 0$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n d_j = 3g-2+n-k$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}\left(z^k \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{d_j}, G_g(z, x_1, \dots, x_n)\right) &= 0, \\
\mathcal{C}\left(z^k \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{d_j}, P_g(z, x_1, \dots, x_n)\right) &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

(2) *Let $d_j \geq 0$, $\sum_{j=1}^n d_j = g$ and $a = \#\{j \mid d_j = 0\}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}\left(z^{2g-2+n} \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{d_j}, G_g(z, x_1, \dots, x_n)\right) &= \frac{1}{4^g \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n (2d_j + 1)!!}, \\
\mathcal{C}\left(z^{2g-2+n} \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{d_j}, P_g(z, x_1, \dots, x_n)\right) &= \frac{a}{4^g \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n (2d_j + 1)!!}.
\end{aligned}$$

(3) *Let $d_j \geq 0$, $\sum_{j=1}^n d_j = g+1$, $a = \#\{j \mid d_j = 0\}$ and $b = \#\{j \mid d_j = 1\}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}\left(z^{2g-3+n} \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{d_j}, G_g(z, x_1, \dots, x_n)\right) &= \frac{2g^2 + (2n-1)g + \frac{n^2-n}{2} - 3 + \frac{5a-a^2}{2}}{4^g \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n (2d_j + 1)!!}, \\
\mathcal{C}\left(z^{2g-3+n} \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{d_j}, P_g(z, x_1, \dots, x_n)\right) &= \frac{a(2g^2 + 2ng - g + \frac{n^2-n-a^2+5a}{2} + 3b - 3) - 3b}{4^g \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n (2d_j + 1)!!}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. (1) is obvious from theorem 1.2. We now prove (2) inductively.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C} \left(z^{2g-2+n} \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{d_j}, P_g(z, x_1, \dots, x_n) \right) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \mathcal{C} \left(z^{2g-2+n} \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{d_j}, G_g(z, x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_j, \dots, x_n) \right) \\ &= \frac{a}{4^g \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n (2d_j + 1)!!}, \end{aligned}$$

where $a = \#\{j \mid d_j = 0\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{C} (z^{2g-2+n}, G_g(z, x_1, \dots, x_n)) \\ &= \sum_{r+s=g} \frac{(2r+n-2)!!}{4^s (2g+n)!!} \sum_{\sum d_j=r} \frac{a \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{d_j}}{4^r \prod_{j=1}^n (2d_j+1)!!} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right)^s \\ &= \frac{1}{2g+n} \sum_{\sum d_j=g} \frac{a \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{d_j}}{4^g \prod_{j=1}^n (2d_j+1)!!} + \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n x_j}{4(2g+n)} \sum_{\sum d_j=g-1} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{d_j}}{4^{g-1} \prod_{j=1}^n (2d_j+1)!!} \\ &= \frac{1}{2g+n} \left(\sum_{\sum d_j=g} \frac{a \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{d_j}}{4^g \prod_{j=1}^n (2d_j+1)!!} + \sum_{\sum d_j=g} \frac{(2g+n-a) \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{d_j}}{4^g \prod_{j=1}^n (2d_j+1)!!} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\sum d_j=g} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{d_j}}{4^g \prod_{j=1}^n (2d_j+1)!!}. \end{aligned}$$

The statement (3) can be proved similarly. \square

Now consider the normalized special $(n+2)$ -point function $G(y, -y, x_1, \dots, x_n)$. We have the following theorem about the coefficients of $G(y, -y, x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $g \geq 0$ and $n \geq 1$.*

(1) *If $k > 2g$, $d_j \geq 0$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n d_j = 3g + n - k$, then*

$$\mathcal{C} \left(y^k \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{d_j}, \sum_{n=I \amalg J} (y + \sum_{i \in I} x_i)^2 \cdot (-y + \sum_{i \in J} x_i)^2 \cdot G(y, x_I) \cdot G(-y, x_J) \right) = 0,$$

or equivalently,

$$\sum_{n=I \amalg J} \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \langle \tau_j \tau_0^2 \prod_{i \in I} \tau_{d_i} \rangle_{g'} \langle \tau_{k-j} \tau_0^2 \prod_{i \in J} \tau_{d_i} \rangle_{g-g'} = 0.$$

(2) *If $d_j \geq 1$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n d_j = g + n$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{C} \left(y^{2g} \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{d_j}, \sum_{n=I \amalg J} (y + \sum_{i \in I} x_i)^2 \cdot (-y + \sum_{i \in J} x_i)^2 \cdot G(y, x_I) \cdot G(-y, x_J) \right) \\ &= \frac{(2g+n+1)!}{4^g (2g+1)! \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n (2d_j-1)!!}. \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently,

$$\sum_{\underline{n}=I \amalg J} \sum_{j=0}^{2g} (-1)^j \langle \tau_j \tau_0^2 \prod_{i \in I} \tau_{d_i} \rangle_{g'} \langle \tau_{2g-j} \tau_0^2 \prod_{i \in J} \tau_{d_i} \rangle_{g-g'} = \frac{(2g+n+1)!}{4^g (2g+1)! \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n (2d_j - 1)!!}.$$

(3) If $d_j \geq 1$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n d_j = g+n$, then

$$\mathcal{C} \left(y^{2g} \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{d_j}, \sum_{\underline{n}=I \amalg J} \left(\sum_{i \in I} x_i \right)^2 \cdot \left(\sum_{i \in J} x_i \right)^2 \cdot G(y, -y, x_I) \cdot G(x_J) \right) = 0.$$

or equivalently,

$$\sum_{\underline{n}=I \amalg J} \sum_{j=0}^{2g} (-1)^j \langle \tau_j \tau_{2g-j} \tau_0^2 \prod_{i \in I} \tau_{d_i} \rangle_{g'} \langle \tau_0^2 \prod_{i \in J} \tau_{d_i} \rangle_{g-g'} = 0.$$

(4) If $d_j \geq 0$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n d_j = g+n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\underline{n}=I \amalg J} \sum_{j=0}^{2g} (-1)^j \left(\langle \tau_j \tau_0^2 \prod_{i \in I} \tau_{d_i} \rangle \langle \tau_{2g-j} \tau_0^2 \prod_{i \in J} \tau_{d_i} \rangle + \langle \tau_j \tau_{2g-j} \tau_0^2 \prod_{i \in I} \tau_{d_i} \rangle \langle \tau_0^2 \prod_{i \in J} \tau_{d_i} \rangle \right) \\ &= (2g+n+1) \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{2g} (-1)^j \langle \tau_0 \tau_j \tau_{2g-j} \tau_{d_1} \cdots \tau_{d_n} \rangle_g \end{aligned}$$

(5) If $k > 2g$, $d_j \geq 0$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n d_j = 3g - 1 + n - k$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{C} \left(y^k \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{d_j}, G_g(y, -y, x_1, \dots, x_n) \right) = 0, \\ & \mathcal{C} \left(y^k \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{d_j}, P_g(y, -y, x_1, \dots, x_n) \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

(6) If $d_j \geq 0$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n d_j = g - 1 + n$, then

$$\mathcal{C} \left(y^{2g} \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{d_j}, P_g(y, -y, x_1, \dots, x_n) \right) = (2g+n+1) \mathcal{C} \left(y^{2g} \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{d_j}, G_g(y, -y, x_1, \dots, x_n) \right).$$

If moreover we have $d_j \geq 1$, then

$$\mathcal{C} \left(y^{2g} \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{d_j}, G_g(y, -y, x_1, \dots, x_n) \right) = \frac{(2g+n-1)!}{4^g (2g+1)! \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n (2d_j - 1)!!}.$$

Proof. We first show that (1) and (2) imply the statements (3)-(6).

(3) is obvious, since for $d_i \geq 1$, we have

$$\langle \tau_0^2 \prod_{i \in J} \tau_{d_i} \rangle_0 = 0.$$

(4), (5) and the first identity of (6) follow easily from Theorem 1.2.

Let $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_n \geq 1$. We prove the second identity of (6) by inducting on d_1 , the maximum index.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=0}^{2g} (-1)^j \langle \tau_{2g-j} \tau_j \tau_{d_1} \cdots \tau_{d_n} \rangle_g \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{2g} (-1)^j \langle \tau_0 \tau_{2g-j} \tau_j \tau_{d_1+1} \tau_{d_2} \cdots \tau_{d_n} \rangle_g - \sum_{k=2}^n \sum_{j=0}^{2g} (-1)^j \langle \tau_{2g-j} \tau_j \tau_{d_1+1} \tau_{d_2} \cdots \tau_{d_{k-1}} \cdots \tau_{d_n} \rangle_g \\
&= \frac{(2g+n)!}{4^g (2g+1)! \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n (2d_j-1)!! (2d_1+1)} - \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{(2g+n-1)! (2d_k-1)}{4^g (2g+1)! \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n (2d_j-1)!! (2d_1+1)} \\
&= \frac{(2g+n-1)!}{4^g (2g+1)! \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n (2d_j-1)!!},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (4). In fact the above identity still holds if there is only one $d_j = 0$.

By explicitly writing down the n -point functions, we give a proof of (1) and (2) in the case $n = 2$, the general case can be proved similarly. Note also that it is easy to prove Theorem 3.3 for $g = 0$ since $G_0(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_1 + \dots + x_n)^{n-3}$ (see Lemma 4.3 and Corollary 4.4).

It is easy to prove the following identity by inducting on g .

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \mathcal{C} \left(y^{2g+2}, \sum_{\substack{I \sqcup J \\ 2=I \sqcup J}} (y + \sum_{i \in I} x_i)^2 \cdot (-y + \sum_{i \in J} x_i)^2 \cdot \sum_{g'=0}^g G_{g'}(y, x_I) G_{g-g'}(-y, x_J) \right) \\
&= 2 \sum_{r+s=g} \left(\frac{(2r)!!}{4^s (2g+2)!!} \frac{1}{4^r (2r+1)!!} (x_1^r + x_2^r) (x_1 + x_2)^s - \frac{1}{4^r (2r+1)!!} \frac{1}{4^s (2s+1)!!} x_1^r x_2^s \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Because we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{C} \left(y^{2g}, \sum_{\substack{I \sqcup J \\ 2=I \sqcup J}} (y + \sum_{i \in I} x_i)^2 \cdot (-y + \sum_{i \in J} x_i)^2 \cdot \sum_{g'=0}^g G_{g'}(y, x_I) G_{g-g'}(-y, x_J) \right) \\
&= 2 \sum_{r+s=g} \left(\frac{(2r)!!}{4^s (2g+2)!!} \frac{1}{4^r (2r+1)!!} \left(s(x_1^r + x_2^r) (x_1 + x_2)^s x_1 x_2 + \frac{s^2 + s}{2} (x_1^r + x_2^r) (x_1 + x_2)^{s+2} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + (s+1)(r+1)(x_1^{r+1} + x_2^{r+1})(x_1 + x_2)^{s+1} + \frac{r^2 + r}{2} (x_1^{r+2} + x_2^{r+2})(x_1 + x_2)^s \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{4^r (2r+1)!!} \frac{1}{4^s (2s+1)!!} \left((r+1)(s+1)x_1^{r+1} x_2^{s+1} - \frac{s^2 + s}{2} x_1^r x_2^{s+2} - \frac{r^2 + r}{2} x_1^{r+2} x_2^s \right) \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4^g (2g)!!} (x_1 + x_2)^{g+2},
\end{aligned}$$

the proof of (2) is also easy. \square

It is easy to see that the statements (5) and (6) of Theorem 3.3 imply the following identities of intersection numbers which we have announced in [5]. They are related to Faber's intersection number conjecture.

Corollary 3.4.

(1) Let $d_j \geq 0$, $\#\{j \mid d_j = 0\} \leq 1$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n d_j = g + n - 1$. Then

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2g} (-1)^j \langle \tau_{2g-j} \tau_j \tau_{d_1} \cdots \tau_{d_n} \rangle_g = \frac{(2g + n - 1)!}{4^g (2g + 1)! \prod_{j=1}^n (2d_j - 1)!}.$$

If $\#\{j \mid d_j = 0\} = 2$ and $a = \#\{j \mid d_j = 1\}$, then the right hand side becomes

$$\frac{(2g + n - 1)!}{4^g (2g + 1)! \prod_{j=1}^n (2d_j - 1)!} \cdot \frac{2g + n - a}{2g + n - 1 - a}.$$

(2) Let $k > 2g$, $d_j \geq 0$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n d_j = 3g - 1 + n - k$. Then

$$\sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \langle \tau_{k-j} \tau_j \tau_{d_1} \cdots \tau_{d_n} \rangle_g = 0.$$

We also have the following generalization of statements (1) and (2) of Theorem 3.3. The proof is similar.

Theorem 3.5. Let $g \geq 0$, $n \geq 1$ and $r, s \geq 0$.

(1) If $k > 2g + r + s$, $d_j \geq 0$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n d_j = 3g + r + s + n - k$, then

$$\mathcal{C} \left(y^k \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{d_j}, \sum_{n=I \amalg J} (y + \sum_{i \in I} x_i)^{2+s} \cdot (-y + \sum_{i \in J} x_i)^{2+r} \cdot G(y, x_I) \cdot G(-y, x_J) \right) = 0,$$

or equivalently,

$$\sum_{n=I \amalg J} \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \langle \tau_j \tau_0^{2+r} \prod_{i \in I} \tau_{d_i} \rangle_{g'} \langle \tau_{k-j} \tau_0^{2+s} \prod_{i \in J} \tau_{d_i} \rangle_{g-g'} = 0.$$

(2) If $d_j \geq 1$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n d_j = g + n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{C} \left(y^{2g+r+s} \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{d_j}, \sum_{n=I \amalg J} (y + \sum_{i \in I} x_i)^{2+s} \cdot (-y + \sum_{i \in J} x_i)^{2+r} \cdot G(y, x_I) \cdot G(-y, x_J) \right) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^r (2g + n + r + s + 1)!}{4^g (2g + r + s + 1)! \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n (2d_j - 1)!}. \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=I \amalg J} \sum_{j=0}^{2g+r+s} (-1)^j \langle \tau_j \tau_0^{2+r} \prod_{i \in I} \tau_{d_i} \rangle_{g'} \langle \tau_{2g+r+s-j} \tau_0^{2+s} \prod_{i \in J} \tau_{d_i} \rangle_{g-g'} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^r (2g + n + r + s + 1)!}{4^g (2g + r + s + 1)! \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n (2d_j - 1)!}. \end{aligned}$$

4. OTHER APPLICATIONS OF N-POINT FUNCTIONS

From the new identities in Section 3 and their derivations, we can see that the simple formula of n -point functions may be used to prove the following equivalent statement of the Faber's intersection number conjecture:

Conjecture 4.1. *Let $d_j \geq 0$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n d_j = g + n - 2$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tau_{d_1} \cdots \tau_{d_n} \tau_{2g} \rangle_g &= \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \tau_{d_1} \cdots \tau_{d_{j-1}} \tau_{d_j+2g-1} \tau_{d_{j+1}} \cdots \tau_{d_n} \rangle_g \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{n=I \amalg J \\ j=0}}^{2g-2} (-1)^j \langle \tau_j \prod_{i \in I} \tau_{d_i} \rangle_{g'} \langle \tau_{2g-2-j} \prod_{i \in J} \tau_{d_i} \rangle_{g-g'}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that our explicit formula of n -point functions should also shed light on the following conjectural identity as stated in [5] where the case of $n = 1$ has been proved.

Conjecture 4.2. *Let $g \geq 2$, $d_j \geq 1$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n (d_j - 1) = g$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{(2g - 3 + n)!}{2^{2g+1} (2g - 3)! \prod_{j=1}^n (2d_j - 1)!!} \\ &= \langle \tau_{d_1} \cdots \tau_{d_n} \tau_{2g-2} \rangle_g - \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \tau_{d_1} \cdots \tau_{d_{j-1}} \tau_{d_j+2g-3} \tau_{d_{j+1}} \cdots \tau_{d_n} \rangle_g \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{n=I \amalg J \\ j=0}}^{2g-4} (-1)^j \langle \tau_j \prod_{i \in I} \tau_{d_i} \rangle_{g'} \langle \tau_{2g-4-j} \prod_{i \in J} \tau_{d_i} \rangle_{g-g'}. \end{aligned}$$

If we have $d_j \geq 0$, $\#\{j \mid d_j = 0\} = 1$ and $a = \#\{j \mid d_j = 1\}$ in the above conjecture, then the left hand side becomes

$$\frac{(2g - 3 + n)!}{2^{2g+1} (2g - 3)! \prod_{j=1}^n (2d_j - 1)!!} \cdot \frac{2g + n + 1 - a}{2g + n - 3 - a}.$$

We will discuss the relation of the above conjectures with our simple formula of the n -point functions in a forthcoming paper. Here as the first step we only prove two interesting combinatorial identities.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $n \geq 2$.*

(1) *Assume that if $I = \emptyset$, then $(\sum_{i \in I} x_i)^{|I|} = 1$. We have*

$$\sum_{\{2, \dots, n\} = I \amalg J} (x_1 + \sum_{i \in I} x_i)^{|I|} (-x_1 + \sum_{i \in J} x_i)^{|J|} = \sum_{\{2, \dots, n\} = I \amalg J} (\sum_{i \in I} x_i)^{|I|} (\sum_{i \in J} x_i)^{|J|}$$

(2) *We have*

$$(5) \quad \sum_{\substack{n=I \amalg J \\ I, J \neq \emptyset}} (\sum_{i \in I} x_i)^{|I|-1} (\sum_{i \in J} x_i)^{|J|-1} = 2(n-1) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right)^{n-2}$$

Proof. Let $\prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{d_j}$ be any monomial of

$$(6) \quad \sum_{\{2, \dots, n\} = I \amalg J} (x_1 + \sum_{i \in I} x_i)^{|I|} (-x_1 + \sum_{i \in J} x_i)^{|J|}.$$

Since $\sum_{j=1}^n d_j = n - 1$, so if $d_1 > 0$, then there must exist some $j > 1$ such that $d_j = 0$.

The statement (1) means that the polynomial (6) does not contain x_1 , so we need only prove that after substitute $x_n = 0$ in (6), the resulting polynomial does not contain x_1 .

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\{2, \dots, n-1\} = I \amalg J} \left((x_1 + \sum_{i \in I} x_i)^{|I|+1} (-x_1 + \sum_{i \in J} x_i)^{|J|} + (x_1 + \sum_{i \in I} x_i)^{|I|} (-x_1 + \sum_{i \in J} x_i)^{|J|+1} \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{j=2}^{n-1} x_j \right) \sum_{\{2, \dots, n-1\} = I \amalg J} (x_1 + \sum_{i \in I} x_i)^{|I|} (-x_1 + \sum_{i \in J} x_i)^{|J|}. \end{aligned}$$

So statement (1) follows by induction.

We prove statement (2) by induction. Regard the LHS and RHS of the identity (5) as polynomials in x_n with degree $n - 2$, we need to prove the equality of (5) when substitute $x_n = -x_i$ for $i = 1 \dots n - 1$. It's sufficient to check the case $x_n = -x_{n-1}$.

$$\begin{aligned} LHS &= 2 \sum_{\underline{n-2} = I \amalg J} \left((x_{n-1} + \sum_{i \in I} x_i)^{|I|} (-x_{n-1} + \sum_{i \in J} x_i)^{|J|} + \left(\sum_{i \in I} x_i \right)^{|I|+1} \left(\sum_{i \in J} x_i \right)^{|J|-1} \right) \\ &= 2 \sum_{\underline{n-2} = I \amalg J} \left(\left(\sum_{i \in I} x_i \right)^{|I|} \left(\sum_{i \in J} x_i \right)^{|J|} + \left(\sum_{i \in I} x_i \right)^{|I|+1} \left(\sum_{i \in J} x_i \right)^{|J|-1} \right) \\ &= 4 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} x_j \right)^{n-2} + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} x_j \right)^2 \sum_{\substack{\underline{n-2} = I \amalg J \\ I, J \neq \emptyset}} \left(\sum_{i \in I} x_i \right)^{|I|-1} \left(\sum_{i \in J} x_i \right)^{|J|-1} \\ &= 2(n-1) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} x_j \right)^{n-2} = RHS. \end{aligned}$$

Note that if a term has power $|J| - 1$, then $J \neq \emptyset$ is assumed. □

Finally as an interesting exercise we give a proof of the following well-known formula by using our formula of the n -point functions.

Corollary 4.4. *Let $n \geq 3$, $d_j \geq 0$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n d_j = n - 3$. Then*

$$\langle \tau_{d_1} \cdots \tau_{d_n} \rangle_0 = \binom{n-3}{d_1, \dots, d_n}.$$

Proof. It's equivalent to prove that for $n \geq 3$

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j\right)^{n-3} &= G_0(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{2(n-1) \sum_{j=1}^n x_j} \sum_{n=I \amalg J} \left(\sum_{i \in I} x_i\right)^2 \left(\sum_{i \in J} x_i\right)^2 G_0(x_I) G_0(x_J) \\ &= \frac{1}{2(n-1) \sum_{j=1}^n x_j} \sum_{n=I \amalg J} \left(\sum_{i \in I} x_i\right)^{|I|-1} \left(\sum_{i \in J} x_i\right)^{|J|-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This is just the Lemma 4.3 (2). □

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Brézin and S. Hikami, *Vertices from replica in a random matrix theory*, math-ph/0704.2044.
- [2] R. Dijkgraaf, H. Verlinde, and E. Verlinde, *Topological strings in $d < 1$* , Nuclear Phys. B 352 (1991), 59–86.
- [3] C. Faber and R. Pandharipande, *Logarithmic series and Hodge integrals in the tautological ring, with an appendix by Don Zagier*, Michigan Math. J. (Fulton volume) 48 (2000), 215–252.
- [4] M. Kontsevich, *Intersection theory on the moduli space of curves and the matrix Airy function*. Comm. Math. Phys. **147** (1992), no. 1, 1–23.
- [5] K. Liu and H. Xu, *New properties of the intersection numbers on moduli spaces of curves*, math.AG/0609367.
- [6] A. Okounkov, *Generating functions for intersection numbers on moduli spaces of curves*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices, (2002), 933–957.
- [7] E. Witten, *Two-dimensional gravity and intersection theory on moduli space*, Surveys in Differential Geometry, vol.1, (1991) 243–310.
- [8] D. Zagier, *The three-point function for $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$* , unpublished.
- [9] H. Xu, *A Maple program to compute intersection indices by Conjecture A.1*, available at <http://www.cms.zju.edu.cn/news.asp?id=1162&ColumnName=pdfbook&Version=english>

CENTER OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, ZHEJIANG UNIVERSITY, HANGZHOU, ZHEJIANG 310027, CHINA; DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA AT LOS ANGELES, LOS ANGELES, CA 90095-1555, USA

E-mail address: liu@math.ucla.edu, liu@cms.zju.edu.cn

CENTER OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, ZHEJIANG UNIVERSITY, HANGZHOU, ZHEJIANG 310027, CHINA

E-mail address: haoxu@cms.zju.edu.cn