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Compound decision problems

• Decision problems (Wald, 47, 50): observation $X$; model/density $f(x|\theta)$; parameter $\theta$; loss function $L(a, \theta)$; decision rule $t(x)$; risk $R(t, \theta) \equiv E_\theta L(t(X), \theta)$; problem = to “minimize” the risk.

• Compound decision problems (Robbins, 51): (similar) decision problems with (conditionally independent) observations

$$X_{(n)} \equiv (X_1, \ldots, X_n), \quad X_k \sim f(x|\theta_k),$$

parameters $\theta_{(n)} \equiv (\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n)$, and the compound risk

$$R_n(t_{(n)}(X_{(n)}), \theta_{(n)}) \equiv n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} E_{\theta_{(n)}} L(t_k(X_{(n)}), \theta_k),$$

where $t_{(n)} \equiv (t_1, \ldots, t_n)$. 
• Why compound? A separable rule $t_k(X_{(n)}) = t(X_k)$ may not produce desirable results for any given $t$.

• Example: $X_k|\theta_k \sim N(\theta_k, 1), \theta_k = \pm 1, L(a, \theta) = I\{a \neq \theta\}$.

$\diamond$ Separable rules $t_\lambda(x) = 2I\{x > \lambda\} - 1$ have compound risks

$$R_n(t_\lambda, \theta_{(n)}) = p_n \Phi(\lambda - 1) + (1 - p_n) \Phi(-\lambda - 1),$$

with $p_n \equiv \sum_{k=1}^n (\theta_k + 1)/(2n)$.

$\diamond$ The naive (minimax) choice is $\lambda = 0$.

$\diamond$ Obviously, the best choice of $\lambda$ is $\lambda(p_n) \equiv 2^{-1} \log(1/p_n - 1)$, while $p_n \approx \hat{p}_n \equiv \sum_{k=1}^n (X_k + 1)/(2n)$. 
Simulation: solid = minimax, dash = EB, 2000 rep
Empirical Bayes methods

• Robbins (51, 56)
• For separable rules $t_k = t(X_k)$, the compound risk

$$R_n(t, \theta(n)) = R(t, G_n) \equiv \int E_{\theta}L(t(X), \theta)G_n(d\theta)$$

is the Bayes risk with the (unknown) prior

$$G_n(A) \equiv n^{-1}\sum_{k=1}^{n} I\{\theta_k \in A\}.$$ 

• The ideal/Bayes rule is

$$t^*_n \equiv t^*_G \equiv \arg\min_t R(t, G_n).$$

• Empirical Bayes (EB) solutions to the compound problem

$$t_k(X_{(n)}) = \hat{t}_n(X_k), \quad \hat{t}_n \approx t^*_n.$$ 

• Asymptotic optimality (ideal adaptation)

$$R_n(t_{(n)}(X_{(n)}), \theta_{(n)}) \approx R^*(G_n) \equiv R(t^*_n, G_n).$$
Empirical Bayes methods

- Compound EB problem: a compound problem where $\theta_k$ are iid variables from an unknown $G$, i.e. $n$ iid Bayes problems with an unknown prior and a compound risk.

- Sequential EB problem: only $(X_1, \ldots, X_k)$ can be used in the $k$-th decision problem; mathematically equivalent to the compound version.

- EB solution: use $X_{(n)}$ to estimate the Bayes rule $t^* \equiv t^*_G$ for the unknown (true) prior.

- EB methods in general: treating (a sequence of) unknown parameters as (iid) random variables with unknown prior and estimate the Bayes rule for the unknown prior based on data.

- Related work: Neyman-Scott (48), Kiefer-Wolfowitz (56), Stein (56).
Equivalence in minimax estimation

- Is the ideal risk $R^*(G_n)$ (for the ideal separate estimators) a good benchmark, i.e. “lower bound”, for the compound problem?
- What lower bound? Minimaxity in many subsets of the parameter space of $\theta_{(n)}$, e.g. local minimaxity similar to LAM of Le Cam.
- Minimax equivalence between the compound and Bayes problems

$$
\mathcal{R}_n(\Theta_n) \approx \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{G}_n) \geq \sup_{G \in \mathcal{G}_n} R^*(G'),
$$

in many subsets (balls) $\Theta_n$, where $\mathcal{G}_n \equiv \{G_n : \theta_{(n)} \in \Theta_n\}$, and

$$
\mathcal{R}_n(\Theta) \equiv \inf_{t_{(n)}} \sup_{\theta_{(n)} \in \Theta} R_n(t_{(n)}, \theta_{(n)}), \quad \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{G}) \equiv \inf_{t} \sup_{G \in \mathcal{G}} R(t, G).
$$

- Ideal adaptation leads to simultaneous asymptotic local minimaxity. If the minimax equivalence holds in $\Theta_n$, then separable decision rules are approximately minimax in them.
• Pinsker (80), Donoho-Johnstone (94, 98), ...

• Donoho-Johnstone (94): $X|\theta \sim N(\theta, 1)$, $L(a, \theta) = (a - \theta)^2$.

  ◦ Minimax equivalence in $\ell_p$ balls

  $$\Theta_{p, n}(C) \equiv \{ \theta_{(n)} : n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} |\theta_k|^p \leq C^p \}$$

  within $o(1)R_n(\Theta_{p, n}(C))$ as $nC^p/(\log n)^{p/2} \to \infty$, $0 < p \leq 2$.

  ◦ Ideal threshold estimators are asymptotically (Bayes) minimax.

  ◦ Asymptotic minimaxity cannot be achieved with linear estimators.

  ◦ Comparison via the minimax Bayes risk in

  $$\mathcal{G}_p(C) \equiv \{ G : \int |u|^p G(du) \leq C^p \}.$$ 

Note that $\mathcal{G}_{p, n}(C) \equiv \{ G_n : \theta_{(n)} \in \Theta_{p, n}(C) \} \subset \mathcal{G}_p(C)$ and $G \in \mathcal{G}_p(C) \Rightarrow \lim_n G_n = G$ (weak) for certain $G_n \in \mathcal{G}_{p, n}(C)$. 

• Estimation of location vector: $X = \theta + \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $L(a, \theta) = \|a - \theta\|^2$.

◊ For nonnegative Borel $\psi$, e.g. $\psi(x) = |x/C|^p$, define $\psi$-balls

$$\Theta_{\psi, n} \equiv \{\theta(n) : \sum_{k=1}^n \psi(\theta_k) \leq n\}, \quad G_\psi \equiv \{G : \int \psi(u)G(du) \leq 1\}.$$

**Theorem.** Suppose $\|\epsilon\|_q \equiv (E\|\epsilon\|^q)^{1/q} < \infty$. Then,

$$\sup_{\theta(n) \in \Theta_{\psi, n}} R^*(G_n) \leq \sup_{G \in G_\psi} R^*(G) \leq R_n(\Theta_{\psi, n}) + \eta_n \|\epsilon\|_2^2 + 2\eta_n (\|\epsilon\|_2 + (\eta_n^{-1} - 1)^{1/q}\|\epsilon\|_q)^2,$$

where $\eta_n \approx ((\log n)/(2n))^{1/2}$ with $\eta_n = \exp(-2n\eta_n^2)$. Furthermore, if $\psi$ is lower semi-continuous, then $R(G_\psi) = \sup_{G \in G_\psi} R^*(G)$.

◊ If $\epsilon \sim N(0, 1)$, then for the best $q$

$$\|\epsilon\|_2^2 + 2\eta_n (\|\epsilon\|_2 + (\eta_n^{-1} - 1)^{1/q}\|\epsilon\|_q)^2 \leq (1 + o(1))(\log n)^{3/2}(2/n)^{1/2}.$$
• Outline of proof.

◊ Continuity of the Bayes risk: For $G = w_1 G_1 + w_2 G_2$,

$$w_1 R^*(G_1) \leq R^*(G) \leq R^*(G_1) + w_2 \left\{ \| \epsilon \|_2 + (w_1/w_2)^{1/(2q)} \| \epsilon \|_{2q} \right\}^2.$$ 

**Problem:** Degree of continuity for general/other decision problems, i.e. sensitivity to the specification of $G$.

◊ Large deviation: For $\int \psi dG \leq 1$, let $\psi(\theta) I\{\psi(\theta) \leq M\} \sim G_1$ with $\theta \sim G$ and $\theta_k$ be iid $G_1$ under $P_{G_1,n}$. If $P_G\{\psi(\theta) = M\} = 0$, then

$$P_{G_1,n}\left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n \psi(\theta_k) > n \right\} \leq \exp \left( -2nP_G^2\{\psi(\theta) > M\} \right).$$

◊ Minimax theorem for lower semi-continuous $\psi$:

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{G}_\psi) = \sup_{G \in \mathcal{G}_\psi} R^*(G) = \sup_{G \in \mathcal{G}_\psi} \inf_{t} R(t, G).$$
Estimation of mixing distributions

- Individual solutions to the EB problem: estimation of $t^*_G$ or $t^*_{G_n}$.
- General solution: estimation of $G$ or $G_n$ and use $t^*_G$. In the EB model, $X_k$ are iid from the mixture $f_G(x) \equiv \int f(x|u)G(du)$.
- Generalized (NP) MLE of the mixing distribution $G$ (Kiefer-Wolfowitz, 56); EM algorithm (Dempster-Laird-Rubin, 77).
- **Problem:** the NPMLE of mixing distributions are consistent under very mild conditions (Phanzagl, 88), but their convergence rates are unknown in general. Examples?
  - In some cases, the optimal convergence rates for the nonparametric estimation of mixing distribution are very slow, e.g. logarithmic rates.
• Asymptotic theory for (the lower bounds of) optimal minimax convergence rates in general estimation problems (Donoho-Liu, 91).

◊ Suppose we want to estimate $\tau(G)$ based on $X_{(n)}$ from $P_{G,n}$.

◊ Let $\nu_n$ be measures in $\mathcal{G}_n \equiv \{G : r_n \leq d(\tau(G), \tau(G_0)) \leq Mr_n\}$ and $P_n \equiv \int P_{G,n} d\nu_n$. If $\liminf \|P_n - P_{G_0,n}\|_1 < 2$, then the minimax risk in $\mathcal{G}_n$ cannot be $o(r_n)$.

◊ This is a consequence of Neyman-Pearson: $(2 - \|P_n - P_{G_0,n}\|_1)/2$ is the smallest total error probabilities for testing $P_n$ vs. $P_{G_0,n}$.
● Example (Zhang, 90): \( X \mid \theta \sim N(\theta, 1) \)

\( \diamond \) Let \( G_0 \sim N(0, 1) \), \( a_n \equiv \sqrt{2 \log n} \),

\[ G_n(du) \equiv [1 + (2a_n)^{-1}\{\cos(a_n u) - c_n\}]G_0(du). \]

\( \diamond \) Since \( \theta \mid x \sim N(x/2, 1/2) \), \(|f_{G_n}(x)/f_{G_0}(x) - 1| \leq 1/\sqrt{n}\). Thus,

\[ H^2(P_{G_n,n}, P_{G,n}) = 2[1 - \{1 - \int (\sqrt{f_{G_n}} - \sqrt{f_{G_0}})^2/2\}^n] \]

\[ \leq \cdots \to 2(1 - e^{1/2}) < 1, \]

which implies \( \lim \inf \|P_n - P_{G_0,n}\|_1 < 2 \).

\( \diamond \) Since \( \|G_n - G_0\|_\infty \asymp a_n^{-2}, r_n = (\log n)^{-1} \) is a lower bound for the estimation of \( G \).

\( \diamond \) \( G_n \) are regular, since \( (d/du)^2G_n(u) \) are uniformly bounded.

● Estimators (not MLE) were constructed to achieve optimal rates in various models (Carroll-Hall, 88; Zhang 90, 95; Fan 91).
Parametric and restricted empirical Bayes

• Parametric EB: assume $\theta_k$ iid from $G \in \mathcal{G}$ with a parametric family $\mathcal{G}$, and approximate $t^*_G$ for a member $G \in \mathcal{G}$, Efron-Morris (72a, b, 73a, b), Morris (83).

• Restricted EB: approximate

$$t^*_n = \arg \min_{t \in \mathcal{D}} R(t, G_n)$$

for a restricted class $\mathcal{D}$, Robbins (80, 83).

◊ Parametric EB if $\mathcal{D} = \{ t^*_G, G \in \mathcal{G} \}$.

◊ General EB if $\mathcal{D} = \{ \text{all Borel} \}$. 
Conclusions

- Empirical Bayes methods may (often) achieve great risk reduction in compound decision problems.
- The ideal Bayes risk is a good benchmark for compound estimation, in the sense that the local maxima of the ideal Bayes risk provide asymptotical lower bounds for the local minimax risks.
- The estimation of mixing distribution/density may have slow optimal rates of convergence, and thus may not provide a sound general solution to compound decision problems.