A note on a conjecture of Calderón*

Jiecheng CHEN & Xiangrong ZHU

Dept of Math (Xixi Campus), Zhejiang Universitry

Abstract

For $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $\Omega \in L^1(S^1)$, $\int_{S^1} \Omega(x') dx' = 0$, define

$$T_{\Omega}(f)(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0+} \int_{|x-y| \ge \epsilon} \frac{\Omega(y/|y|)}{|y|^2} f(x-y) dy.$$

In this paper, we shall prove that there are a class of functions in $H^1(S^1) - L \ln^+ L(S^1)$ such that T_{Ω} is weak type L^1 -bounded.

^{*}Supported by 973 project and NSFZJ

1 Introduction

For $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\Omega \in L^1(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$, $\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \Omega(x') dx' = 0$, define

$$T_{\Omega}(f)(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0+} \int_{|x-y| \ge \epsilon} \frac{\Omega(y/|y|)}{|y|^d} f(x-y) dy. \tag{1}$$

In [1], Calderón and Zygmund proved that if $\Omega \in L \ln {}^+L(S^{d-1})$, i.e.

$$\int_{S^{d-1}} |\Omega(x')| \ln(2 + |\Omega(x')|) dx' < \infty, \tag{2}$$

 T_{Ω} is L^p -bounded for $1 . In [7] and [5], Ricci, Weiss and independently Connett proved that if <math>\Omega \in H^1(S^{d-1})$, T_{Ω} is L^p -bounded for $1 . Also see [4]. But, it remained open for long time if <math>T_{\Omega}$ is weak type L^1 -bounded under the corresponding conditions (for $\Omega \in L \ln^+ L(S^{d-1})$, it is a conjecture of Calderón).

In [3], Christ and Rubio de Francia proved that for $\Omega \in L \ln^+ L(S^{d-1})$ $(d \leq 7)$, T_{Ω} is weak type L^1 -bounded. And at the same time, in [6], Hofmann independently proved that for $\Omega \in L^q(S^{d-1})$ (d=2,q>1), T_{Ω} is weak type L^1 -bounded. Finally, in [8], Seeger generalized the result to all $d \geq 2$ and $\Omega \in L \ln^+ L(S^{d-1})$.

We know that $L \ln^+ L(S^{d-1}) \subset H^1(S^{d-1})$. So, it is natural to ask a harder question: for $\Omega \in H^1(S^{d-1})$, is T_{Ω} weak type L^1 -bounded? In [9], Stefanov proved that if Ω is a finite sum of $H^1(S^1)$ -atoms with the additional assumption that the atoms are supported on almost disjoint arcs of comparable size (note that such an Ω must be $L^{\infty}(S^1)$ -function), $\|T_{\Omega}\|_{L^1 \to WL^1}$ essentially depends only on $\|\Omega\|_{H^1}$. Precisely, he proved

Theorem 1 Let N,l be positive integers satisfying $N \leq 2\pi 2^l c_0$ where c_0 is suitably choosen, and I_n denote the arc in S^1 with center e_n and satisfying $|I_n| \sim 2^{-l}$, $|I_n \cap I_m| \leq \frac{1}{2} \min(|I_n|, |I_m|)$ for $n \neq m$. Suppose $\Omega = \sum_{1}^{N} \lambda_n a_n$ where $\lambda_n > 0$ and a_n is an $H^1(S^1)$ -atom on S^1 satisfying supp $(a_n) \subset I_n$, $||a_n||_{\infty} \leq 2^{-l}$ and $\int_{S^1} a_n(\theta) d\theta = 0$. Then, $||T_{\Omega}||_{L^1 \to WL^1} \leq C \cdot (\sum_{n=1}^{N} \lambda_n)$ where C is independent of N and l.

In this paper, we shall prove that there are a class of functions in $H^1(S^1) - L \ln^+ L(S^1)$ such that T_{Ω} is weak type L^1 -bounded. We have

Theorem 2 Let I_n denote the arc in S^1 with center e_n and length $2\rho_n$, disjoint mutually, and

$$A = \sup_{\theta \in S^1} \sum_{n:\theta \notin I_n} \frac{\rho_n^2}{|\theta - e_n|^2} < \infty; \tag{3}$$

let $\Omega = \sum_{1}^{\infty} \lambda_n a_n$ where $\sum_{1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n| < \infty$ and a_n is an $H^1(S^1)$ -atom on S^1 satisfying

(i)
$$supp(a_n) \subset I_n,$$

(ii) $\int_{S^1} a_n(\theta) d\theta = 0,$
(iii) $\|a_n\|_{\infty} \leq \rho_n^{-1},$ (4)

Then,

$$|\{x : |T_{\Omega}(f)(x)| > \lambda\}| \le C \cdot A(\sum_{n} \lambda_{n}) \lambda^{-1} ||f||_{1}$$
 (5)

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $\lambda > 0$, where C is independent of f, λ and Ω .

Theorem 3 Suppose $\rho_n \in (0,1)$ and $\sum_n \rho_n < \infty$. There are $\{e_n\} \subset S^1$, arcs $I_n \subset S^1$ with center e_n and length $2\rho_n$ such that for any sequence of H^1 -atoms $\{a_n\}$ (satisfying (4))and $\Omega = \sum_n \lambda_n a_n$,

$$|\{x: |T_{\Omega}(f)(x)| > \lambda\}| \le C \cdot \sum_{n} \rho_n \cdot \sum_{n} \lambda_n \cdot ||f||_1$$
(6)

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $\lambda > 0$, where C is independent of f, λ and Ω .

From Theorem 3, we have

Corollary 4 For any increasing function $\varphi:[0,\infty)\to[0,\infty)$ with $\varphi(0+)=0$, if

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\varphi(t)}{t} = \infty,\tag{7}$$

then, there must be an $\Omega \in H^1 - \varphi(L)$ such that T_{Ω} is weak type L^1 -bounded, where

$$\varphi(L) = \left\{ \Omega : \int_{S^1} \varphi(|\Omega(\theta)|) d\theta < \infty \right\}.$$

2 Some lemmas

Without loss of generality, we always assume that $\sum_{1}^{\infty} \lambda_n = 1$ and $\lambda_n > 0$.

For a rectangle $Q = Q(y, \mathbf{r}) (\subset R^2)$ with center y and sides' length $2\mathbf{r} = (2r_1, 2r_2)$, let $mQ = Q(y, m\mathbf{r}), \overline{d}(Q) = \max(r_1, r_2)$. Set

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \left\{ Q : \begin{array}{c} \text{the longer side of } Q \text{ is parallel to } e_n, \text{ the shorter} \\ \text{side length is } \rho_n \text{ time of the longer side length} \end{array} \right\},$$

and

$$M_n(f)(x) = \sup_{x \in Q \in \mathcal{A}_n} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(z)| dz.$$

It is easy to see that M_n is weak type L^1 -bounded and $\sup_n ||M_n||_{L^1 \to WL^1} < \infty$. Now, we first give a modified Whitney's decomposition.

Lemma 5 Suppose $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is open. There is $m \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that for any n, there are mutually disjoint rectangles $\{Q_{n,i}\} \subset \mathcal{A}_n$ satisfying

$$(i)$$
 $E = \bigcup_i Q_{n,i}$

$$(ii)$$
 $4Q_{n,i} \subset E$

(iii)
$$mQ_{n,i} \cap E^c \neq \emptyset$$
.

$$(iv)$$
 $\overline{d}(Q_{n,i}) \in \left\{2^k : k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots\right\}.$

This lemma can be proved along the idea of the proof of the Whitney's decomposition, see [10].

For $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $\lambda > 0$, let

$$E_n = \left\{ x : M_n(f)(x) > \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_n} \right\}$$

$$E = \left\{ x : M(f)(x) > \lambda \right\} \cup (\cup_n E_n)$$

where M is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator. For any n, we shall make C-Z decomposition of f based on the modified Whitney's decomposition of E (not E_n , key point). By Lemma 5, we have that $E = \bigcup_i Q_{n,i}$ where $\{Q_{n,i}\}$ satisfy the conditions in Lemma 5. Take

$$b_{Q_{n,i}} = (f(x) - \frac{1}{|Q_{n,i}|} \int_{Q_{n,i}} f(y) dy) \chi_{Q_{n,i}}(x)$$

$$g_n(x) = \sum_i \frac{1}{|Q_{n,i}|} \int_{Q_{n,i}} f(y) dy \chi_{Q_{n,i}}(x)$$

$$g(x) = f(x) \chi_{E^c}(x)$$

$$B_{n,j} = \sum_{i: \overline{d}(Q_{n,i}) = 2^j} b_{Q_{n,i}}.$$

We have

Lemma 6 For any n, $f = g + g_n + \sum_i b_{Q_{n,i}}$, and

(i)
$$\int_{R^2} b_{Q_{n,i}}(x) dx = 0$$

$$\int_{R^2} \left| b_{Q_{n,i}}(x) \right| dx \le C \cdot \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_n} |Q_{n,i}|$$

$$\sum_{i} \int_{Q_{n,i}} \left| b_{Q_{n,i}}(x) \right| dx \le 2 \|f\|_1$$
(ii)
$$\|g\|_2^2 \le C\lambda \|f\|_1, \|g_n\|_2^2 \le C \cdot \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_n} \|f\|_1$$

(iii) $|E| \leq \sum_{n} |E_n| + |\{x : M(f)(x) > \lambda\}| \leq C \cdot \lambda^{-1} ||f||_1$.

Lemma 7 Under the hypothesis of Theorem 2,

$$\left\| \sum_{n} \lambda_{n} \frac{a_{n}(\frac{\cdot}{|\cdot|})}{\left|\cdot\right|^{2}} * g_{n} \right\|_{2}^{2} \leq C \cdot A \cdot \lambda \left\| f \right\|_{1} \tag{8}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $\lambda > 0$.

Proof. We first prove that

$$\sum_{n} \left| \left(\frac{a_n(\frac{\cdot}{|\cdot|})}{|\cdot|^2} \right)^{\wedge} (\xi) \right|^2 \le C \cdot A. \tag{9}$$

By a well-known computation (see [10] page 39),

$$\left(\frac{a_n(\frac{\cdot}{|\cdot|})}{|\cdot|^2}\right)^{\wedge}(\xi) = \int_{S^1} a_n(\theta) \left(\ln \frac{1}{\left|\langle \theta, \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \rangle\right|} + \frac{\pi i}{2} sign\langle \theta, \xi \rangle\right) d\theta \tag{10}$$

which is independent of $|\xi|$. So, we may assume $|\xi| = 1$. Let $\xi^{\perp} \in S^1$ denote anyone of the TWO unit vectors orthogonal to ξ . If $\pm \xi^{\perp} \notin I_n$, $sign\langle \theta, \xi \rangle$ is constant for $\theta \in I_n$, thus (without loss of generality, we may assume that $|\angle(e_n, \xi^{\perp})| < \pi/2$, in this case, $|\langle e_n, \xi \rangle| \sim |e_n - \xi^{\perp}|$)

$$\left| \left(\frac{a_n(\frac{\cdot}{|\cdot|^2})}{|\cdot|^2} \right)^{\wedge} (\xi) \right| = \left| \int_{S^1} a_n(\theta) \left(\ln |\langle \theta, \xi \rangle|^{-1} - \ln |\langle e_n, \xi \rangle|^{-1} \right) d\theta \right|
\leq \int_{S^1} |a_n(\theta)| \ln(1 + |\langle \theta - e_n, \xi \rangle| |\langle e_n, \xi \rangle|^{-1}) d\theta
\leq C \sup_{\theta \in I_n} \frac{|\theta - e_n|}{|e_n - \xi^{\perp}|} \leq C \frac{\rho_n}{|e_n - \xi^{\perp}|}.$$
(11)

By (11), we have (note that $A \geq 1$)

$$\sum_{n} \left| \left(\frac{a_{n}(\dot{|})}{||^{2}} \right)^{\wedge} (\xi) \right|^{2} = \left(\sum_{n:\xi^{\perp} \in I_{n} \text{ or } -\xi^{\perp} \in I_{n}} + \sum_{n:\pm \xi^{\perp} \notin I_{n}} \right) \left| \left(\frac{a_{n}(\dot{|})}{||^{2}} \right)^{\wedge} (\xi) \right|^{2} \\
\leq C \left(1 + \sum_{n} \frac{\rho_{n}^{2}}{\left| e_{n} - \xi^{\perp} \right|^{2}} \right) \leq C' \cdot A. \tag{12}$$

Thus

$$\begin{split} \left\| \sum_{n} \lambda_{n} \frac{a_{n}(\frac{\cdot}{|\cdot|})}{|\cdot|^{2}} * g_{n} \right\|_{2}^{2} &= \left\| \sum_{n} \lambda_{n} \left(\frac{a_{n}(\frac{\cdot}{|\cdot|})}{|\cdot|^{2}} \right)^{\wedge} (g_{n})^{\wedge} \right\|_{2}^{2} \\ &= \int_{S^{1}} \sum_{n} \sum_{m} \lambda_{n} \left(\frac{a_{n}(\frac{\cdot}{|\cdot|})}{|\cdot|^{2}} \right)^{\wedge} (\xi) \left(g_{n} \right)^{\wedge} (\xi) \lambda_{m} \left(\frac{a_{m}(\frac{\cdot}{|\cdot|})}{|\cdot|^{2}} \right)^{\wedge} (\xi) \left(g_{m} \right)^{\wedge} (\xi) d\xi \\ &\leq \int_{S^{1}} \sum_{m} \lambda_{m}^{2} \left| \left(g_{m} \right)^{\wedge} (\xi) \right|^{2} \cdot \sum_{n} \left| \left(\frac{a_{n}(\frac{\cdot}{|\cdot|})}{|\cdot|^{2}} \right)^{\wedge} (\xi) \right|^{2} d\xi \\ &\leq C \cdot A \sum_{m} \lambda_{m}^{2} \left\| \widehat{g_{m}} \right\|_{2}^{2} \leq C \cdot A \sum_{m} \left(\lambda_{m}^{2} \cdot \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{m}} \left\| f \right\|_{1} \right) \leq C \cdot A \left\| f \right\|_{1}. \end{split}$$

Lemma 7 is proved.

Take $\beta \in C_c^{\infty}((\frac{1}{2},2))$ such that $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$ and $\sum_j \beta(2^{-j}t) = 1$ for all $t \in R^+$, $\psi \in C_c^{\infty}((-1,1))$ such that $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$ and $\psi|_{[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}]} = 1$ and $\psi^{(k)}(\pm \frac{1}{2}) = 0$ for all $k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$. Let

$$a_{n,j}(x) = \beta(2^{-j} |x|) \frac{a_n(x/|x|)}{|x|^2}$$

$$\varphi_n^s(x) = \left(\psi(2^{-\frac{s}{6}} \rho_n^{-1} \langle \dot{\cdot}, e_n \rangle) \right)^{\vee}(x).$$
(13)

We have

Lemma 8 Under the hypothesis of Theorem 2,

$$\left\| \sum_{s>0} \sum_{n} \sum_{j} \lambda_{n} a_{n,j} * \varphi_{n}^{s} * B_{n,j-s} \right\|_{2}^{2} \leq C \cdot A\lambda \|f\|_{1}.$$
 (14)

Proof. By a similar estimate in [9] (see section 5 below for details), we have

$$\left\| \sum_{j} a_{n,j} * B_{n,j-s} \right\|_{2}^{2} \le C 2^{-\frac{s}{2}} \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{n}} \|f\|_{1}.$$
 (15)

Now, we estimate $\sum_{n} \left| \widehat{\varphi_n^s}(\xi) \right|^2$ where $s \geq 0$. If $\widehat{\varphi_n^s}(\xi) = \psi(2^{-\frac{s}{6}}\rho_n^{-1}\langle \frac{\xi}{|\xi|}, e_n \rangle) > 0$, we have $\left| 2^{-\frac{s}{6}}\rho_n^{-1}\langle \frac{\xi}{|\xi|}, e_n \rangle \right| \leq 1$, thus

$$\left| \left\langle \frac{\xi}{|\xi|}, e_n \right\rangle \right| \le 2^{\frac{s}{6}} \rho_n. \tag{16}$$

Note that $\left|\left\langle \frac{\xi}{|\xi|}, e_n \right\rangle\right| \sim \left|\xi^{\perp} - e_n\right|$ for all n satisfying $\pm \xi^{\perp} \notin I_n$ and $\left|\angle(e_n, \xi^{\perp})\right| < \pi/2$, we have $\left|\xi^{\perp} - e_n\right| \leq C2^{\frac{s}{6}}\rho_n$, and thus $\rho_n \left|\xi^{\perp} - e_n\right|^{-1} \geq C2^{-\frac{s}{6}}$. By Theorem 2 (3), the number of n satisfying (16) does not exceed $CA2^{\frac{s}{3}}$, thus

$$\sum_{n} \left| \widehat{\varphi_n^s}(\xi) \right|^2 \le CA2^{\frac{s}{3}}. \tag{17}$$

By (15) and (17),

$$\begin{split} \left\| \sum_{s>0} \sum_{n} \sum_{j} \lambda_{n} a_{n,j} * \varphi_{n}^{s} * B_{n,j-s} \right\|_{2}^{2} &\leq \left(\sum_{s>0} \left\| \sum_{n} \sum_{j} \lambda_{n} a_{n,j} * \varphi_{n}^{s} * B_{n,j-s} \right\|_{2} \right)^{2} \\ &= \left(\sum_{s>0} \left\| \sum_{n} \widehat{\varphi_{n}^{s}} \left(\lambda_{n} \sum_{j} \widehat{a_{n,j}} \widehat{B_{n,j-s}} \right) \right\|_{2} \right)^{2} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{s>0} \left\| \left(\sum_{n} \left| \widehat{\varphi_{n}^{s}}(\xi) \right|^{2} \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{n} \lambda_{n}^{2} \left(\sum_{j} \widehat{a_{n,j}} \widehat{B_{n,j-s}} \right)^{2} \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{2} \right)^{2} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{s>0} \left(CA2^{\frac{s}{3}} \sum_{n} \lambda_{n}^{2} \left\| \sum_{j} a_{n,j} * B_{n,j-s} \right\|_{2}^{2} \right)^{1/2} \right)^{2} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{s>0} \left(CA2^{\frac{s}{3}} 2^{-\frac{s}{2}} \lambda \left(\sum_{n} \lambda_{n} \right) \|f\|_{1} \right)^{1/2} \right)^{2} = CA\lambda \|f\|_{1} \,. \end{split}$$

Lemma 8 is proved.

Lemma 9 Under the hypothesis of Theorem 2,

$$\sum_{s>0} \sum_{n} \sum_{j} \lambda_n \|a_{n,j} * (\delta - \varphi_n^s) * B_{n,j-s}\|_1 \le C \|f\|_1.$$
 (18)

Proof. By a similar estimate in [9] (see section 5 below for details), we have

$$||a_{n,j} * (\delta - \varphi_n^s)||_1 \le C2^{-\frac{s}{12}}.$$
 (19)

So

$$\sum_{s>0} \sum_{n} \sum_{j} \lambda_{n} \|a_{n,j} * (\delta - \varphi_{n}^{s}) * B_{n,j-s}\|_{1}$$

$$\leq \sum_{s>0} \sum_{n} \sum_{j} \lambda_{n} C 2^{-\frac{s}{12}} \|B_{n,j-s}\|_{1} = C \|f\|_{1}.$$

Lemma 9 is proved.

3 Proof of Theorem 2

For fixed f and λ ,

$$T_{\Omega}(f)(x) = T_{\Omega}(g)(x) + \sum_{n} \lambda_{n} \frac{a_{n}(\frac{\cdot}{|\cdot|})}{|\cdot|^{2}} * g_{n}(x) + \sum_{n} \sum_{i} \lambda_{n} \frac{a_{n}(\frac{\cdot}{|\cdot|})}{|\cdot|^{2}} * b_{Q_{n,i}}(x),$$

so,

$$|\{x: |T_{\Omega}(f)(x)| > \lambda\}| \leq I + II + III$$

$$I = |\{x: |T_{\Omega}(g)(x)| > \lambda/3\}|$$

$$II = \left|\left\{x: \left|\sum_{n} \lambda_{n} \frac{a_{n}(\dot{|})}{|\cdot|^{2}} * g_{n}(x)\right| > \lambda/3\right\}\right|$$

$$III = \left|\left\{x: \left|\sum_{n} \sum_{i} \lambda_{n} \frac{a_{n}(\dot{|})}{|\cdot|^{2}} * b_{Q_{n,i}}(x)\right| > \lambda/3\right\}\right|.$$
(20)

By L^2 -boundedness of T_{Ω} ,

$$I \leq C\lambda^{-2} \|T_{\Omega}(g)\|_{2}^{2} \leq C\lambda^{-2} \|\Omega\|_{H^{1}}^{2} \|g\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$\leq C\lambda^{-2} \left(\sum_{n} \lambda_{n}\right)^{2} \lambda \|f\|_{1} = C\lambda^{-1} \|f\|_{1}.$$
(21)

Similarly, we have

$$II \le C\lambda^{-2} \left\| \sum_{n} \lambda_n \frac{a_n(\frac{\cdot}{|\cdot|})}{|\cdot|^2} * g_n(x) \right\|_2^2 \le CA\lambda^{-1} \|f\|_1.$$
 (22)

For III, we have

$$\sum_{n} \sum_{i} \lambda_{n} \frac{a_{n}(\frac{1}{|\cdot|})}{|\cdot|^{2}} * b_{Q_{n,i}}(x) = \sum_{s \leq 0} \sum_{j} \sum_{n} \lambda_{n} a_{n,j} * B_{n,j-s}(x)
+ \sum_{s > 0} \sum_{j} \sum_{n} \lambda_{n} a_{n,j} * B_{n,j-s}(x)
= \sum_{s \leq 0} \sum_{j} \sum_{n} \lambda_{n} a_{n,j} * B_{n,j-s}(x)
+ \sum_{s > 0} \sum_{j} \sum_{n} \lambda_{n} a_{n,j} * \varphi_{n}^{s} * B_{n,j-s}(x)
+ \sum_{s > 0} \sum_{j} \sum_{n} \lambda_{n} a_{n,j} * (\delta - \varphi_{n}^{s}) * B_{n,j-s}(x)$$

$$(23)$$

If $\overline{d}(Q_{n,i}) \ge 2^j$, $supp(a_{n,j} * b_{Q_{n,i}}) \subset 4Q_{n,i}$, so

$$supp(\sum_{s\leq 0}\sum_{j}\sum_{n}\lambda_{n}a_{n,j}*B_{n,j-s})\subset \cup_{n}\cup_{i}4Q_{n,i}=E.$$

Noticing that $|E| \leq C\lambda^{-1} ||f||_1$, we have

$$III \leq |E| + \left| \left\{ x : \left| \sum_{s>0} \sum_{j} \sum_{n} \lambda_{n} a_{n,j} * \varphi_{n}^{s} * B_{n,j-s}(x) \right| > \lambda/9 \right\} \right|$$

$$+ \left| \left\{ x : \left| \sum_{s>0} \sum_{j} \sum_{n} \lambda_{n} a_{n,j} * (\delta - \varphi_{n}^{s}) * B_{n,j-s}(x) \right| > \lambda/9 \right\} \right|$$

$$= |E| + IV + V.$$

$$(24)$$

By Lemma 8,

$$IV \le C\lambda^{-2} \left\| \sum_{s>0} \sum_{j} \sum_{n} \lambda_n a_{n,j} * \varphi_n^s * B_{n,j-s} \right\|_2^2 \le CA\lambda^{-1} \|f\|_1.$$
 (25)

By Lemma 9,

$$V \le C\lambda^{-1} \left\| \sum_{s>0} \sum_{j} \sum_{n} \lambda_{n} a_{n,j} * (\delta - \varphi_{n}^{s}) * B_{n,j-s} \right\|_{1} \le CA\lambda^{-1} \|f\|_{1}.$$
 (26)

Combining (20)-(22) and (24)-(26), we get

$$|\{x: |T_{\Omega}(f)(x)| > \lambda\}| \le CA\lambda^{-1} ||f||_{1}.$$

Theorem 2 is proved.

4 Proof of Theorem 3

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\{\rho_n\}$ is decreasing and $\sum_n \rho_n < \pi/64$. Let $d_m = \frac{1}{m^2} \sup_{n \le m} n^2 \rho_n$. We have

Lemma 10 $\sum_m d_m \le 16 \sum_n \rho_n$

Proof. For $n \leq m$, $n^2 \rho_n \leq n^2 (n^{-1} \sum_{i \leq n} \sqrt{\rho_i})^2 \leq (\sum_{i \leq m} \sqrt{\rho_i})^2$, thus

$$\sum_{m} d_m \le \sum_{m} m^{-2} (\sum_{i \le m} \sqrt{\rho_i})^2.$$

On the other hand,

$$\sum_{m} m^{-2} \left(\sum_{i \le m} \sqrt{\rho_{i}} \right)^{2} \le 2 \sum_{m} m^{-2} \sum_{j \le m} \sum_{i \le j} \sqrt{\rho_{i}} \sqrt{\rho_{j}}
= 2 \sum_{j} \sqrt{\rho_{j}} \left(\sum_{i \le j} \sqrt{\rho_{i}} \right) \sum_{m \ge j} m^{-2}
\le 4 \sum_{j} j^{-1} \sqrt{\rho_{j}} \left(\sum_{i \le j} \sqrt{\rho_{i}} \right)
\le 4 \left(\sum_{j} \rho_{j} \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{j} \left(j^{-1} \sum_{i \le j} \sqrt{\rho_{i}} \right)^{2} \right)^{1/2},$$

so

$$\sum_{m} d_m \le \sum_{m} m^{-2} \left(\sum_{i \le m} \sqrt{\rho_i}\right)^2 \le 16 \sum_{j} \rho_j.$$

Lemma 10 is proved.

By Lemma 10 and the assumption $\sum_{n} \rho_{n} < \pi/64$, we get $2\sum_{m} d_{m} < \pi/2$. So, we can choose $\{e_{m}\}\subset S^{1}$, such that $|e_{m+1}-e_{m}|=2d_{m}$ and $0<\arg e_{m}<\arg e_{m+1}<\pi/2$ for all m. In addition, by the fact $2d_{m}\geq\rho_{m}+\rho_{m+1}$, $\{I_{m}\}$ are disjoint mutually. We shall first apply induction to prove that

$$|e_m - e_n| \ge \frac{n(m-n)}{m} \rho_n \tag{27}$$

for m > n. For m = n + 1, $|e_m - e_n| = 2d_n > \frac{n}{n+1}\rho_n = \frac{n(m-n)}{m}\rho_n$. Suppose $|e_m - e_n| \ge \frac{n(m-n)}{m}\rho_n$, we have

$$|e_{m+1} - e_n| = |e_{m+1} - e_m| + |e_m - e_n|$$

$$\geq 2d_m + \frac{n(m-n)}{m}\rho_n \geq \left(\frac{2n^2}{m^2} + \frac{n(m-n)}{m}\right)\rho_n$$

$$> \frac{n(m+1-n)}{m+1}\rho_n.$$

So, (27) holds for all m > n. Now, we shall prove that

$$\sup_{\theta \in S^1} \sum_{n: \theta \notin I_n} \frac{\rho_n^2}{|\theta - e_n|^2} < \infty. \tag{28}$$

For $\theta \in S^1$, we first consider

$$N_{\theta}^{+} \stackrel{def}{=} \{n : 0 \le \arg \theta - \arg e_n \le \pi/2\}$$

$$N_{\theta}^{-} \stackrel{def}{=} \{n : 0 \ge \arg \theta - \arg e_n \ge -\pi/2\}$$

$$N_{\theta}^{0} \stackrel{def}{=} \{n : |\arg \theta - \arg e_n| > \pi/2\}.$$

Label the elements in N_{θ}^{+} by sub-index such that

$$\cdots < |\theta - e_{n-2}| < |\theta - e_{n-1}| < |\theta - e_{n_0}|$$

Then, $N_{\theta}^{+} = \{ \dots < n_{-1} < n_{0} \}$ or $N_{\theta}^{+} = \{ n_{-K} < \dots < n_{-1} < n_{0} \}$. By (27), in the second case, $|\theta - e_{n_{-l}}| > |e_{n_{-K}} - e_{n_{-l}}| > \frac{n_{-l}(n_{-K} - n_{-l})}{n_{-K}} \rho_{n_{-l}}$, thus

$$\sum_{n:n\in N_{\theta}^{+},\theta\notin I_{n}} \frac{\rho_{n}^{2}}{|\theta-e_{n}|^{2}} \leq 1 + \sum_{l=1}^{K-1} \left(\frac{n_{-l}(n_{-K}-n_{-l})}{n_{-K}}\right)^{-2}$$

$$\leq \sum_{l=0}^{K-1} \left(\frac{n_{l}(n_{K}-n_{l})}{n_{K}}\right)^{-2} \leq C < \infty;$$

in the fist case, $|\theta - e_{n_{-l}}| > n_{-l}\rho_{n_{-l}}$, so

$$\sum_{n:n\in N_{\theta}^{+},\theta\notin I_{n}} \frac{\rho_{n}^{2}}{|\theta - e_{n}|^{2}} \le 1 + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} (n_{-l})^{-2} = C < \infty.$$
 (29)

Label the elements in N_{θ}^{-} by sub-index such that

$$|\theta - e_{n_0}| < |\theta - e_{n_1}| < |\theta - e_{n_2}| < \cdots$$

Then, $N_{\theta}^{+} = \{n_0 < n_1 < \cdots\}$ or $N_{\theta}^{+} = \{n_0 < n_1 < \cdots < n_K\}$. By (27), it is easy to show that

$$\sum_{n:n\in N_{\theta}^{-},\theta\notin I_{n}} \frac{\rho_{n}^{2}}{|\theta - e_{n}|^{2}} \le C < \infty.$$

$$(30)$$

In addition,

$$\sum_{n:n\in N_{\theta}^{0}, \theta\notin I_{n}} \frac{\rho_{n}^{2}}{|\theta - e_{n}|^{2}} \le C \sum_{n:n\in N_{\theta}^{0}, \theta\notin I_{n}} \rho_{n}^{2} = C' < \infty.$$
(31)

From (29)-(31), we get (28). By (28) and Theorem 2, we get Theorem 3.

Finally, we prove Corollary 4. By (7), we can choose $\{t_n\}$ such that $1 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots$ and $\varphi(t_n) > 2^n t_n$. Set $\lambda_n = 2^{-n}$, $\rho_n = 2^{-n} t_n^{-1}$, $\Omega = \sum_n \lambda_n a_n$ where $\{a_n\}$ are H^1 -atoms satisfying (4) and $|a_n(\theta)| = \rho_n^{-1}$ for $\theta \in I_n$. Then, $\Omega \in H^1(S^1)$, but

$$\int_{S^1} \varphi(|\Omega(\theta)|) d\theta = 2 \sum_n \rho_n \varphi(\lambda_n \rho_n^{-1}) = \infty,$$

i.e. $\Omega \notin \varphi(L)$.

5 Appendix

In the proofs of Lemmas 8-9, we apply the estimates (15) and (19) without proofs. In what follows, we shall give details of their proofs along the ideas developed in [2], [3], [6], [8] and [9].

Proof of (15)

We have

$$\left\| \sum_{j} a_{n,j} * B_{n,j-s} \right\|_{2}^{2} = \sum_{j} \sum_{i} \langle a_{n,j} * B_{n,j-s}, a_{n,i} * B_{n,i-s} \rangle$$

$$\leq 2 \sum_{j} \sum_{i \leq j} \left| \langle B_{n,j-s}, \widetilde{a_{n,j}} * a_{n,i} * B_{n,i-s} \rangle \right|$$

$$\leq 2 \sum_{j} \left\| B_{n,j-s} \right\|_{1} \left\| \sum_{i \leq j} \left| \widetilde{a_{n,j}} * a_{n,i} * B_{n,i-s} \right| \right\|_{\infty}$$

$$\leq 2 \left\| f \right\|_{1} \sup_{j} \left\| \sum_{i \leq j} \left| \widetilde{a_{n,j}} * a_{n,i} * B_{n,i-s} \right| \right\|_{\infty},$$
(32)

where $\widetilde{a_{n,j}}(x) = a_{n,j}(-x)$. We first estimate $\sum_{i \leq -3} |\widetilde{a_{n,0}} * a_{n,i} * B_{n,i-s}(0)|$. We have

$$\widetilde{a_{n,0}} * a_{n,i} * B_{n,i-s}(0) = \int_{R^2} B_{n,i-s}(y) \left(\int_{R^2} a_{n,0}(y+z) a_{n,i}(z) dz \right) dy$$

$$= \int_{R^2} B_{n,i-s}(y) \left(\int_{S^1} \left(\int_{0}^{+\infty} a_n \left(\frac{y+t\theta}{|y+t\theta|} \right) \frac{\beta(|y+t\theta|)}{|y+t\theta|^2} \frac{\beta(2^{-i}t)}{t} dt \right) a_n(\theta) d\theta \right) dy$$

$$\stackrel{def}{=} \int_{S^1} a_n(\theta) T_{i,s}(a_n)(\theta) d\theta$$

where

$$T_{i,s}(a_n)(\theta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} B_{n,i-s}(y) L_i^y(a_n)(\theta) dy$$

$$L_i^y(a_n)(\theta) = \int_0^{+\infty} a_n \left(\frac{y+t\theta}{|y+t\theta|}\right) \frac{\beta(|y+t\theta|)}{|y+t\theta|^2} \frac{\beta(2^{-i}t)}{t} dt.$$

So, by (4),

$$\sum_{i \leq -3} |\widetilde{a_{n,0}} * a_{n,i} * B_{n,i-s}(0)| \leq \sum_{i \leq -3} |\int_{S^1} a_n(\theta) T_{i,s}(a_n)(\theta) d\theta|$$

$$\leq \sup_{\theta} \sum_{i \leq -3} |T_{i,s}(a_n)(\theta)|.$$
(33)

For convenience, let

$$Q_n^*(\theta) \stackrel{def}{=} \left\{ y : \frac{1}{4} \le |y| \le 3, \left| \langle y, \theta^{\perp} \rangle \right| \le 4\rho_n \right\}$$

$$\Theta_{n,i,\theta} \stackrel{def}{=} \left\{ (y,t) : a_n \left(\frac{y+t\theta}{|y+t\theta|} \right) \frac{\beta(|y+t\theta|)}{|y+t\theta|^2} \frac{\beta(2^{-i}t)}{t} \ne 0 \right\}$$

$$(34)$$

where θ^{\perp} be one of the two unit vectors orthogonal to θ . Then

$$supp(L_i^y(a_n)(\theta)) \subset Q_n^*(\theta). \tag{35}$$

Actually, if $L_i^y(a_n)(\theta) \neq 0$, $i \leq -3$ and $(y,t) \in \Theta_{n,i,\theta}$, we have $|y| \leq |y+t\theta| + |t\theta| \leq 2 + 2^{i+1} \leq 3$, $|y| \geq |y+t\theta| - |t\theta| \geq \frac{1}{2} - 2^{i+1} \geq \frac{1}{4}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \langle y, \theta^{\perp} \rangle \right| &= \left| \langle y + t\theta, \theta^{\perp} \rangle \right| \leq |y + t\theta| \left| \left\langle \frac{y + t\theta}{|y + t\theta|}, \theta^{\perp} \right\rangle \right| \leq |y + t\theta| \left| \frac{y + t\theta}{|y + t\theta|} - \theta \right| \\ &\leq |y + t\theta| \left(\left| \frac{y + t\theta}{|y + t\theta|} - e_n \right| + |e_n - \theta| \right) \leq 4\rho_n. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$Q_s(\theta) = \left\{ y : |y| \le 4 \text{ and } |\angle \langle y, \theta \rangle| \le \rho_n 2^{-\frac{s}{2}} \right\}$$

where s > 0, then

$$\sum_{i \leq -3} |T_{i,s}(a_n)(\theta)| \leq \sum_{i \leq -3} |\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} B_{n,i-s}(y) L_i^y(a_n)(\theta) dy|
\leq \sum_{i \leq -3} (\sum_{j:Q_{n,j} \cap Q_s(\theta) \neq \emptyset, \overline{d}(Q_{n,j}) = 2^{i-s}} + \sum_{j:Q_{n,j} \cap Q_s(\theta) = \emptyset, \overline{d}(Q_{n,j}) = 2^{i-s}}) \quad (36)
\cdot |\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} b_{n,j}(y) L_i^y(a_n)(\theta) dy| \stackrel{def}{=} I + II.$$

For I, noticing that

$$|L_i^y(a_n)(\theta)| \le \int_0^{+\infty} \rho_n^{-1} \frac{\beta(|y+t\theta|)}{|y+t\theta|^2} \frac{\beta(2^{-i}t)}{t} dt \le C\rho_n^{-1},$$

we have

$$I \leq \sum_{i \leq -3} \sum_{j:Q_{n,j} \cap Q_s(\theta) \neq \emptyset, \overline{d}(Q_{n,j}) = 2^{i-s}} C \rho_n^{-1} \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_n} |Q_{n,j}|$$

$$\leq C \rho_n^{-1} \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_n} \sum_{j:Q_{n,j} \cap Q_s(\theta) \neq \emptyset, \overline{d}(Q_{n,j}) \leq 2^{-s}} |Q_{n,j}|$$

$$\leq C \rho_n^{-1} \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_n} \rho_n 2^{-\frac{s}{2}} = C \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_n} 2^{-\frac{s}{2}}.$$

$$(37)$$

To estimate II, for $y \in Q_{n,j} \cap Q_n^*(\theta)$ where $\overline{d}(Q_{n,j}) = 2^{i-s}$, $\exists y_{\theta}$ such that $y - y_{\theta}//\theta$, $y_{\theta} - y_{Q}//\theta^{\perp}$ where y_{Q} is the center of $Q_{n,j}$, thus

$$|y - y_{\theta}| = |\langle y - y_{Q}, \theta \rangle| \le 2^{i-s}$$

$$|y_{\theta} - y_{Q}| = |\langle y - y_{Q}, \theta^{\perp} \rangle| \le C2^{i-s} \rho_{n}$$

$$\overline{yy_{\theta}} \cap Q_{s}(\theta) = \emptyset$$

$$\overline{y_{\theta}y_{Q}} \cap Q_{s}(\theta) = \emptyset.$$
(38)

By Lemma 11 below and (38), we have

$$|L_i^y(a_n)(\theta) - L_i^{y_{\theta}}(a_n)(\theta)| \le 2^{i-s} \sup_{z \in \overline{yy_{\theta}}} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} L_i^z(a_n)(\theta) \right| \le C 2^{-s} \rho_n^{-1}$$

$$\left| L_i^{y_{\theta}}(a_n)(\theta) - L_i^{y_{Q}}(a_n)(\theta) \right| \le 2^{i-s} \rho_n \sup_{z \in \overline{y_{\theta}y_{Q}}} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{\perp}} L_i^z(a_n)(\theta) \right| \le C 2^{-\frac{s}{2}} \rho_n^{-1}.$$
(39)

So,

$$II = \sum_{i \leq -3} \sum_{j:Q_{n,j} \cap Q_{s}(\theta) = \emptyset, \overline{d}(Q_{n,j}) = 2^{i-s}} |\int_{R^{2}} b_{n,j}(y) L_{i}^{y}(a_{n})(\theta) dy|$$

$$\leq \sum_{i \leq -3} \sum_{j:Q_{n,j} \cap Q_{s}(\theta) = \emptyset, \overline{d}(Q_{n,j}) = 2^{i-s}} \int_{R^{2}} |b_{n,j}(y)| \left| L_{i}^{y}(a_{n})(\theta) - L_{i}^{y_{Q}}(a_{n})(\theta) \right| dy$$

$$\leq \sum_{i \leq -3} \sum_{j:Q_{n,j} \cap Q_{s}(\theta) = \emptyset, Q_{n,j} \cap Q_{n}^{*}(\theta) \neq \emptyset, \overline{d}(Q_{n,j}) = 2^{i-s}} C_{\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{n}}} |Q_{n,j}|$$

$$\cdot \sup_{y} \left(|L_{i}^{y}(a_{n})(\theta) - L_{i}^{y_{\theta}}(a_{n})(\theta)| + \left| L_{i}^{y_{\theta}}(a_{n})(\theta) - L_{i}^{y_{Q}}(a_{n})(\theta) \right| \right)$$

$$\leq C2^{-\frac{s}{2}} \rho_{n}^{-1} \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{n}} \sum_{j:Q_{n,i} \cap Q_{n}^{*}(\theta) \neq \emptyset, \overline{d}(Q_{n,i}) \leq 2^{-s}} |Q_{n,j}| \leq C2^{-\frac{s}{2}} \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{n}}.$$

$$(40)$$

By (33), (36), (38) and (40), we get

$$\sum_{i \le -3} |\widetilde{a_{n,0}} * a_{n,i} * B_{n,i-s}(0)| \le C2^{-\frac{s}{2}} \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_n}.$$

By translation arguments,

$$\left\| \sum_{i \le -3} |\widetilde{a_{n,0}} * a_{n,i} * B_{n,i-s}| \right\|_{L^{\infty}(R^2)} \le C 2^{-\frac{s}{2}} \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_n}.$$
(41)

By dilation arguments,

$$\left\| \sum_{i \le j} |\widetilde{a_{n,j}} * a_{n,i} * B_{n,i-s}| \right\|_{\infty} \le C2^{-\frac{s}{2}} \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_n}.$$

Combining with (32), it gives (15).

Lemma 11 For $\theta \in supp(a_n)$, $\left| \langle y, \theta^{\perp} \rangle \right| \geq \rho_n 2^{-\frac{s}{2}}$ where s > 0, $|y| \leq 4$, we have

$$(i) \quad \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} L_i^y(a_n)(\theta) \right| \le 2^{-i} \rho_n^{-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &(i) \quad \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} L_i^y(a_n)(\theta) \right| \leq 2^{-i} \rho_n^{-1} \\ &(ii) \quad \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{\perp}} L_i^y(a_n)(\theta) \right| \leq 2^{-i + \frac{s}{2}} \rho_n^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume $\theta = (1,0)$. Let $e_1 = (1,0)$, $e_2 = (1,0)$ (0,1), then $y = y_1 e_1 + y_2 e_2$, $|y_2| \ge \rho_n 2^{-\frac{s}{2}}$. Setting $w = \frac{y+t\theta}{|y+t\theta|} = \frac{y+te_1}{|y+te_1|}$, we have

$$J(w,y) = \left| \frac{dw}{dt} \right| = \frac{|y_2|}{|y + te_1|}.$$
 (42)

Note that $\langle y + te_1, w^{\perp} \rangle = 0$, so $t = -\frac{\langle y, w^{\perp} \rangle}{\langle e_1, w^{\perp} \rangle}$. Obviously, for $(y, t) \in \Theta_{n,i,\theta}$ where $\Theta_{n,i,\theta}$ is defined by (34), we have

$$\frac{\partial t}{\partial y_1} = -1
\left| \frac{\partial t}{\partial y_2} \right| = \left| \frac{\langle e_2, w^{\perp} \rangle}{\langle e_1, w^{\perp} \rangle} \right| = \left| \frac{\langle e_1, w \rangle}{\langle e_2, w \rangle} \right| \le C \rho_n^{-1} 2^{\frac{s}{2}}.$$
(43)

We first estimate $\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}L_i^y(a_n)(\theta)$. By (43), $\frac{\partial(y+te_1)}{\partial y_1}=0=\frac{\partial|y+te_1|}{\partial y_1}$. Thus,

$$\frac{\partial J(w,y)}{\partial y_1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} \left(\frac{|y_2|}{|y + te_1|} \right) = 0,$$

and for $(y,t) \in \Theta_{n,i,\theta}$,

$$\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}\left(\frac{\beta(|y+te_1|)}{|y+te_1|^2}\frac{\beta(2^{-i}t)}{t}\right)\right| \leq C\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}\left(\frac{\beta(2^{-i}t)}{t}\right)\right| \leq C\frac{2^{-i}t+1}{t^2} \leq C2^{-2i}.$$

Therefore

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{1}} L_{i}^{y}(a_{n})(\theta) \right| \leq \int |a_{n}(w)| \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{1}} \left(\frac{\beta(|y+t\theta|)}{|y+t\theta|^{2}} \frac{\beta(2^{-i}t)}{t} \right) \right| \frac{|dw|}{J(w,y)}
+ \int |a_{n}(w)| \left| \frac{\beta(|y+t\theta|)}{|y+t\theta|^{2}} \frac{\beta(2^{-i}t)}{t} \right| \frac{\frac{\partial J(w,y)}{\partial y_{1}}}{J(w,y)} \frac{|dw|}{J(w,y)}
\leq C\rho_{n}^{-1} 2^{-2i} \int_{w([2^{i-1},2^{i+1}])} \frac{|dw|}{J(w,y)}
\leq C\rho_{n}^{-1} 2^{-2i} \int_{2^{i-1}}^{2^{i+1}} dt = C\rho_{n}^{-1} 2^{-i}.$$
(44)

Now, we estimate $\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} L_i^y(a_n)(\theta) \right|$. At first, by (43), we have

$$\left| \frac{\partial |y+te_1|}{\partial y_2} \right| = \frac{\left| \langle y+te_1, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} (y+te_1) \rangle \right|}{|y+te_1|} \le \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} (y+te_1) \right|
\le C(\left| \frac{\partial t}{\partial y_2} \right| + 1) \le C\rho_n^{-1} 2^{\frac{s}{2}}.$$
(45)

Thus, by (43) and (45), for $(y,t) \in \Theta_{n,i,\theta}$,

$$\begin{split} & \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} \big(\frac{\beta(2^{-i}t)}{t} \big) \right| \leq C \frac{2^{-i}t+1}{t^2} \left| \frac{\partial t}{\partial y_2} \right| \chi_{2^{i-1} \leq t \leq 2^{i+1}}(t) \leq C 2^{-2i} \rho_n^{-1} 2^{\frac{s}{2}} \\ & \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} \big(\frac{\beta(|y+te_1|)}{|y+te_1|^2} \big) \right| \leq C \frac{|y+te_1|^2 + |y+te_1|}{|y+te_1|^4} \left| \frac{\partial(|y+te_1|)}{\partial y_2} \right| \chi_{\frac{1}{2} \leq |y+te_1| \leq 2} \leq C \rho_n^{-1} 2^{\frac{s}{2}}, \end{split}$$

which means that

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} \left(\frac{\beta(|y + te_1|)}{|y + te_1|^2} \frac{\beta(2^{-i}t)}{t} \right) \right| \le C 2^{-2i} \rho_n^{-1} 2^{\frac{s}{2}}$$
(46)

In addition, for $(y,t) \in \Theta_{n,i,\theta}$ such that $\left| \langle y, \theta^{\perp} \rangle \right| \geq \rho_n 2^{-\frac{s}{2}}$, we have

$$\left| \frac{\partial J(w,y)}{\partial y_2} \right| = \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} \left(\frac{|y_2|}{|y+te_1|} \right) \right| \le \frac{\left| \frac{\partial (|y_2|)}{\partial y_2} \right| |y+te_1| + |y_2| \left| \frac{\partial (|y+te_1|)}{\partial y_2} \right|}{|y+te_1|^2}$$
$$\le C(1+|y_2|\rho_n^{-1})$$

thus,

$$\left| \frac{\partial J(w,y)}{\partial y_2} J(w,y) \right| \le \frac{C(1+|y_2|\,\rho_n^{-1})}{|y_2|} \le C\rho_n^{-1} 2^{\frac{s}{2}}. \tag{47}$$

By (46) and (47), we get

$$\begin{split} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} L_i^y(a_n)(e_1) \right| &\leq \quad \int |a_n(w)| \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} (\frac{\beta(|y+t\theta|)}{|y+t\theta|^2} \frac{\beta(2^{-i}t)}{t}) \right| \frac{|dw|}{J(w,y)} \\ &+ \int |a_n(w)| \left| \frac{\beta(|y+t\theta|)}{|y+t\theta|^2} \frac{\beta(2^{-i}t)}{t} \right| \frac{\frac{\partial J(w,y)}{\partial y_2}}{J(w,y)} \frac{|dw|}{J(w,y)} \\ &\leq \quad C2^{-2i+\frac{s}{2}} \rho_n^{-1} \rho_n^{-1} \int_{w([2^{i-1},2^{i+1}])} \frac{|dw|}{J(w,y)} \\ &+ C \rho_n^{-1} 2^{\frac{s}{2}} \rho_n^{-1} \int_{w([2^{i-1},2^{i+1}])} \frac{|dw|}{J(w,y)} \\ &\leq \quad C2^{-2i+\frac{s}{2}} \rho_n^{-2} \int_{2^{i-1}}^{2^{i+1}} dt = C2^{-i+\frac{s}{2}} \rho_n^{-2}. \end{split}$$

Lemma 11 is proved.

Proof of (19)

For simplicity, we omit the subindex n. By the definition of φ^s (i.e. φ^s_n , see (13)) and ψ , we have

$$(\delta - \varphi^s)^{\wedge}(\cdot) = 1 - \psi(2^{-\frac{s}{6}}\rho^{-1}\langle \frac{\cdot}{|\cdot|}, e \rangle) = \sum_{m \ge 0} \phi(2^{-\frac{s}{6}-m}\rho^{-1}\langle \frac{\cdot}{|\cdot|}, e \rangle)$$

where $\phi \in C_0^{\infty}((-2, -\frac{1}{2}) \cup (\frac{1}{2}, 2))$ is defined by

$$\phi|_{\left\{u:\frac{1}{2}<|u|\leq 1\right\}}=1-\psi,\,\phi|_{\left\{u:1\leq |u|<2\right\}}=\psi(\frac{\cdot}{2}),\,\phi|_{\left\{u:\frac{1}{2}<|u|<2\right\}^c}=0$$

which satisfies $\sum_{m\geq 0} \phi(2^{-m}u) = 1 - \psi(u)$ for all $u \in R^1$. Take a nonnegative function $\varsigma \in C_0^{\infty}(\left\{\frac{1}{2} < |\cdot| < 2\right\})$ such that $\sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varsigma^2(2^{-k}u) = 1$ for all $u \in R^1$, and set $L_k = (\varsigma(2^{-k}\cdot))^{\vee}$, then

$$a_j * (\delta - \varphi^s) = \sum_{m \ge 0} \sum_k (C_m^{s,k})^{\vee} * a_j * L_k$$

$$C_m^{s,k}(y) = \varsigma(2^{-k}y)\phi(2^{-\frac{s}{6}-m}\rho^{-1}\langle \frac{y}{|y|}, e \rangle)$$
(48)

where a_j is just $a_{n,j}$ defined by (13) with center $e = e_n$ and radius $\rho = \rho_n$.

We first estimate $\|(C_m^{s,k})^{\vee}\|_1$. Define an inversible linear operator $A_{k,m}: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ by

$$A_{k,m}y = (2^{k+\frac{s}{6}+m}\rho y_1, 2^k y_2)$$
 where $y_1//e$ and $y_2 \perp e$.

Let $h = 2^{\frac{s}{6} + m} \rho$, by Sobolev imbedding theorem $(\|\hat{f}\|_1 \le C \sum_{|\alpha| \le 2} \|\partial_{\cdot}^{\alpha} f(Q(\cdot))\|_2$ where Q is a nonsingular linear transform on R^2)

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| (C_m^{s,k})^{\vee} \right\|_1 &\leq C \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} \left\| \partial_y^{\alpha} \left(C_m^{s,k} (A_{k,m} y) \right) \right\|_2 \\ &= C \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} \left\| \partial_y^{\alpha} \left(\varsigma (h y_1 + y_2) \phi(\frac{\langle y_1, e \rangle}{|h y_1 + y_2|}) \right) \right\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

$$\tag{49}$$

In the supports of ς and ϕ , we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \le |hy_1 + y_2| \le 2, \frac{1}{2} \le \frac{|y_1|}{|hy_1 + y_2|} \le 2,$$

which means that

$$|y_1| \le 4, |y_2| \le 2, h \le \frac{|hy_1 + y_2|}{|y_1|} \le 2,$$
 (50)

so

$$\left| \partial_y^{\alpha} \left(\varsigma(hy_1 + y_2) \phi(\frac{\langle y_1, e \rangle}{|hy_1 + y_2|}) \right) \right| \le C. \tag{51}$$

From (49)-(51), we get

$$\left\| (C_m^{s,k})^{\vee} \right\|_1 \le C \sum_{|\alpha| \le 2} \left\| \partial_y^{\alpha} \left(\varsigma(hy_1 + y_2) \phi\left(\frac{\langle y_1, e \rangle}{|hy_1 + y_2|} \right) \right) \right\|_2 \le C. \tag{52}$$

Now,

$$||a_{j} * L_{k}||_{1} = \int \left| \int \frac{a(y/|y|)\beta(2^{-j}|y|)}{|y|^{2}} L_{k}(x-y) dy \right| dx$$

$$= \int \left| \int_{S^{1}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \beta(2^{-j}r) \frac{a(\theta)}{r} L_{k}(x-r\theta) dr d\theta \right| dx$$

$$\leq \int_{R^{2}} \int_{S^{1}} \int_{2^{j+1}}^{2^{j+1}} 2^{-j} |a(\theta)| |L_{k}(x-r\theta) - L_{k}(x-re)| dr d\theta dx$$

$$\leq C \sup_{r \in [2^{j-1}, 2^{j+1}], \theta \in supp(a)} \int_{R^{2}} |L_{k}(x-r\theta) - L_{k}(x-re)| dx$$

$$\leq C \sup_{r \in [2^{j-1}, 2^{j+1}], \theta \in supp(a)} r |\theta - e| ||\nabla L_{k}||_{1} \leq C2^{k+j} \rho.$$
(53)

By (52)-(53),

$$\|(C_m^{s,k})^{\vee} * a_j * L_k\|_1 \le C 2^{k+j} \rho.$$
 (54)

But, for larger k (say, $k + j \ge 0$), the estimate (54) is not enough, we need some other estimate.

Applying Sobolev imbedding therem again, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| (C_m^{s,k})^{\vee} * a_j * L_k \right\|_1 &\leq C \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} \left\| \partial_y^{\alpha} \left(C_m^{s,k}(A_{k,m}y) \widehat{a_j}(A_{k,m}y) \right) \right\|_2 \\ &\leq C \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} \left\| \partial_y^{\alpha} \left(\widehat{a_j}(A_{k,m} \cdot) \right) \chi_{supp(C_m^{s,k}(A_{k,m} \cdot))} \right\|_2 \end{aligned}$$
(55)

by (50)-(51). Write

$$y = y_1 e + y_2 e^{\perp} \in supp(C_m^{s,k}(A_{k,m} \cdot)),$$

$$\theta = \theta_1 e + \theta_2 e^{\perp} \in supp(a), |\theta_1| < 1, |\theta_2| < \rho.$$
(56)

Note that

$$\varsigma(2^{-k}A_{k,m}y) \neq 0 \Longrightarrow |A_{k,m}y| \sim 2^k
\phi(2^{-\frac{s}{6}-m}\rho^{-1}\langle \frac{A_{k,m}y}{|A_{k,m}y|}, e \rangle) \neq 0 \Longrightarrow \left|\langle \frac{A_{k,m}y}{|A_{k,m}y|}, e \rangle\right| \sim 2^{\frac{s}{6}+m}\rho.$$

In addition, $|\theta - e| < \rho$ and $\frac{s}{6} + m > 0$, so

$$\left| \left\langle \frac{A_{k,m}y}{|A_{k,m}y|}, \theta \right\rangle \right| \sim 2^{\frac{s}{6}+m} \rho$$

$$\left| \left\langle A_{k,m}y, \theta \right\rangle \right| \sim 2^{\frac{s}{6}+m+k} \rho,$$
(57)

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \partial_y^{\alpha} \langle A_{k,m} y, \theta \rangle \right| &= \left| \partial_y^{\alpha} \left(\theta_1 2^{\frac{s}{6} + m + k} \rho y_1 + \theta_2 2^k y_2 \right) \right| \\
&\leq C \left(2^{\frac{s}{6} + m + k} \rho \right)^{\alpha_1} \cdot (2^k \rho)^{\alpha_2} \leq C \left(2^{\frac{s}{6} + m + k} \rho \right)^{|\alpha|}.
\end{aligned} (58)$$

Now, by integration by parts,

$$\widehat{a_{j}}(A_{k,m}y) = \int a(\theta) \int \frac{\beta(2^{-j}r)}{r} e^{-ir\langle A_{k,m}y,\theta\rangle} dr d\theta
= \int \frac{a(\theta)}{(-i\langle A_{k,m}y,\theta\rangle)^{3}} \int \partial_{r}^{3} (\frac{\beta(2^{-j}r)}{r}) e^{-ir\langle A_{k,m}y,\theta\rangle} dr d\theta.$$

So, by (57)-(58) and the fact $\left|\partial_r^3(\frac{\beta(2^{-j}r)}{r})r^{|\alpha|-1}\right| \leq C2^{-j(3-|\alpha|+1)}$, we have

$$\left| \partial_{y}^{\alpha} \left(\widehat{a_{j}} (A_{k,m} y) \right) \right| \leq C \sum_{0 \leq \gamma \leq \alpha} \left| \int \frac{a(\theta)(-ir)^{|\gamma|} \partial_{y}^{\gamma} \langle A_{k,m} y, \theta \rangle}{(-i\langle A_{k,m} y, \theta \rangle)^{3}} \int \partial_{r}^{3} \left(\frac{\beta(2^{-j}r)}{r} \right) e^{-ir\langle A_{k,m} y, \theta \rangle} dr d\theta \right|
\leq C \sum_{0 \leq \gamma \leq \alpha} \rho \rho^{-1} \left(2^{\frac{s}{6} + m + k} \rho \right)^{-3} 2^{-j(3 - |\gamma| + 1)} 2^{j} \left(2^{\frac{s}{6} + m + k} \rho \right)^{|\gamma|}
= C \sum_{0 \leq \gamma \leq \alpha} \left(2^{\frac{s}{6} + m + k + j} \rho \right)^{-3 + |\gamma|}.$$
(59)

By (55), (50) and (59), we have

$$\left\| (C_m^{s,k})^{\vee} * a_j * L_k \right\|_1 \le C \sum_{|\alpha| \le 2} (2^{\frac{s}{6} + m + k + j} \rho)^{-3 + |\alpha|} = C \sum_{l=1}^3 (2^{\frac{s}{6} + m + k + j} \rho)^{-l}.$$
 (60)

So,

$$\left\| \sum_{m \geq 0} \sum_{k} (C_{m}^{s,k})^{\vee} * a_{j} * L_{k} \right\|_{1} \leq C \sum_{m \geq 0} \sum_{k} \min \left\{ 2^{k+j} \rho, \sum_{l=1}^{3} (2^{\frac{s}{6} + m + k + j} \rho)^{-l} \right\}$$

$$\leq C \sum_{m \geq 0} \sum_{k:2^{k+j} \rho \leq 2^{-\frac{s}{12} - \frac{m}{2}}} 2^{k+j} \rho$$

$$+ C \sum_{m \geq 0} \sum_{k:2^{k+j} \rho > 2^{-\frac{s}{12} - \frac{m}{2}}} \sum_{l=1}^{3} (2^{\frac{s}{6} + m + k + j} \rho)^{-l}$$

$$\leq C \sum_{m \geq 0} 2^{-\frac{s}{12} - \frac{m}{2}} = C 2^{-\frac{s}{12}}.$$

$$(61)$$

From (48), (61), we get (19).

References

- A. P. Calderón and A. Zygmund, On singular integrals, Amer. Math. Soc. 78(1956), 289-309.
- [2] M. Christ, Weak type bounds for rough kernels, Ann. of Math. 128(1988), 19-42.
- [3] M. Christ and J.-L. Rubio de Francia, Weak type (1,1) bounds for rough operators II, Invent. Math. 93(1988), 229-237.
- [4] R. R. Coifman and G. Weiss, Extensions of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 83(1977), 569-645.
- [5] W. C. Connett, Singular integrals near L¹, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math of Amer. Math. Soc. (S. Wainger and G. Weiss eds), Vol.35 (1) (1979), 163-169.
- [6] S. Hofmann, Weak (1,1) boundedness of singular integrals with nonsmooth kernels, **Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.** 103(1988), 260-264.
- [7] F. Ricci and G. Weiss, A characterization of $H^1(\sum_{n-1})$, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math of Amer. Math. Soc. (S. Wainger and G. Weiss eds), Vol.35 (1) (1979), 289-294.
- [8] A. Seeger, Singular integral operator with rough convolution kernels, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 9(1996), 99-109.
- [9] A. Stefanov, Weak type estimates for certain Calderón-Zygmund singular integral operators, Studia Math. 147(1)(2001), 1-13.
- [10] E. M. Stein, Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions, Princeton University Press, Princeton N. J., 1970.